



**Malé Declaration on Control and Prevention of Air  
Pollution and Its Likely Transboundary Effects for South  
Asia**

**PROTOCOL FOR INTER – LABORATORY COMPARISON OF  
PRECIPITATION CHEMISTRY ANALYSES WITHIN THE  
MALÉ DECLARATION**

**August 2007**

## 1. Background

Malé Declaration on Control and Prevention of Air Pollution and Its Likely Trans-boundary Effects for South Asia (Malé Declaration) is an intergovernmental agreement to tackle the issue of transboundary air pollution through regional cooperation in South Asia since 1998. Participating countries are Bangladesh, Bhutan, Iran, India, Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan and Sri Lanka.

The main objective of the Malé Declaration programme is to promote the establishment of a scientific base for prevention and control of Transboundary air pollution in South Asia to encourage and facilitate coordinated interventions of all the stakeholders on Transboundary and shared air pollution problems at national and regional levels. One monitoring site was established in each participating country and the monitoring network is being implemented based on the common methodologies and standards. At this stage, there is a need to establish an inter-laboratory comparison, concerning analysis of precipitation chemistry, as a required QA measure to ensure the harmonization and quality of the data.

This protocol is prepared to give the specific procedures to be followed for the inter-laboratory comparison project/exercise. This exercise involves a round-robin analysis of uniformly prepared artificial rainwater samples, which will be carried out by the NIA's of the Male' Declaration project. The overall objectives of the inter-laboratory comparison is to recognize the analytical precision and accuracy of the data in each participating laboratory and consequently to provide an opportunity to improve data reliability/quality. The protocol consists of the following main contents: 1) Pre-comparison steps, 2) Inter-comparison procedure, 3) Data acquisition and handling, 4) Post-comparison verification, and 5) Dissemination of results. The methodology for this inter-laboratory comparison is developed based on QA/QC procedure for Male's declaration network with reference to the inter-lab comparison reports of the EANET project.

## 2. Pre-comparison steps

### 2.1 Review of relevant documents and QA program development

- Review the QA/QC procedures of the Male network for the information on the Data Quality Objectives (DQO), analytical parameters and equipment
- Review the monitoring data produced by the network to determine the levels of pH, EC and ionic concentrations that should be in the prepared artificial rainwater samples (statistical analysis will be made, tentatively 2 levels will be prepared).
- Design and deliver a QA program to participating labs in the inter-lab comparison

### 2.2 Preparing artificial rainwater samples

#### - **Materials:**

All materials which come in contact with the sample must be chemically inert. In this QA/QC exercise, polypropylene bottles will be used to prepare and contain rainwater samples which is the same as the EANET inter-laboratory comparison.

#### - **Cleaning:**

Rinse carefully all bottles and other equipment before use with deionized water. All cleaned bottles and utensils will be stored in plastic bags before use.

#### - **Concentration of artificial rainwater samples:**

Two (2) concentration levels will be prepared at the AIT laboratory (higher concentration and lower concentration) for the ten (10) parameters specified in the Malé protocol, based on

distribution frequency curves of each parameter from databases of participating laboratories. Examples of the sample concentration ranges are presented in Table 1. Summary information on the proposed artificial samples is presented in Table 2.

**Table 1: Example of Concentration Ranges in the prepared artificial rain water samples to be distributed to NIA**

| Parameter                     | Range           | Parameter                    | Range           |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| pH                            | 4-5.5           | Na <sup>+</sup>              | 1 – 50 μ mol/L  |
| EC                            | 1-10 mS/m       | K <sup>+</sup>               | 1 – 50 μ mol/L  |
| SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> | 5 – 100 μ mol/L | Ca <sup>2+</sup>             | 1 – 50 μ mol/L  |
| NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>  | 5 – 100 μ mol/L | Mg <sup>2+</sup>             | 1 – 50 μ mol/L  |
| Cl <sup>-</sup>               | 5 – 150 μ mol/L | NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> | 3 – 100 μ mol/L |

**Table 2: Outline of artificial rainwater samples**

| Sample name   | Amount of sample in a bottle | Container                     | Number of samples       | Note  |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| No. M11 (high concentration)<br>No. M12 (low concentration) | Approximately 800 mL         | Polypropylene bottle, 1000 mL | 1 bottle for each level | Known amount of reagents dissolved in deionized water |

Note: Each sample should be analyzed at least 3 times.

**- Chemicals:**

Known amount of reagents will be dissolved in deionized water. The chemicals should be of high purity/analytical grade. Deionized water used should meet the Malé QA/QC criteria (EC<0.15 mS/m).

**3. Inter-comparison procedure**

**3.1. Sending samples to member laboratories**

The artificial rainwater samples (~200 mL) contained in 250 mL polypropylene bottles will be kept with icy box and sent to member laboratories through post by express mail.

**3.2. Sample analysis**

Each laboratory member is expected to analyze samples as soon as received and should be within 1 week after the samples arrive.

**(1) Analytical parameters**

Ten parameters specified in QA/QC of the Male' Declaration including pH, electrical conductivity (EC) (unit - mS/m) and concentrations of ionic species (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup> (unit - μmol/L)) will be prepared based on frequency curves of each parameter from available databases of participating laboratories. The broad range of each parameter, as illustrated in Table 1, in the prepared samples will be informed to NIA.

**(2) Analytical method**

Participating laboratories are expected to use the analytical methods specified in the “Technical Document for Wet and Dry Deposition Monitoring for Malé Declaration” and closely follow the “Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Programme for Wet and Dry Deposition Monitoring for Malé Declaration” protocol. Thus, the NIA will analyze the samples following the methods they are using for the data they report to the Malé network. In addition, the NIA are also encouraged to run and report results by other methods.

If NIA uses other methods (than the methods specified by the Male’ Protocol) for the routine analysis of rainwater samples and if the practice has already been approved by the UNEP RRC.AP then the NIA should use these methods for the artificial rainwater samples.

It is recommended that NIA to do at least 3 runs for each parameter and report the average concentration value and one standard deviation (Average ± STD).

To ensure the accuracy and precision of the data and for proper assessment of the operation conditions, the persons, who are responsible for analyzing wet deposition samples at the NIA, are also required to analyze these artificial rainwater samples of inter-laboratory comparison. The NIA will analyze the samples and report the result in the excel data template provided. Analytical methods specified in Male’ technical document are quoted in Table 3.

**Table 3: Analytical methods specified in the Technical Documents for Wet and Dry Deposition monitoring for Malé Declaration**

| Parameter             | Instrument method  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Electric Conductivity | Conductivity Cell  |
| pH                    | Glass electrode (preferably with the electrode of non-leak inner cell) |
| Chloride              | Argentometric method   |
| Nitrate               | Cadmium reduction method-Spectrophotometry                             |
| Sulphate              | Spectrophotometry  |
| Ammonium              | Spectrophotometry (Indophenol method)*                                 |
| Sodium                | Flame photometry   |
| Potassium             | Flame photometry   |
| Calcium               | Titrimetry (EDTA method)   |
| Magnesium             | Titrimetry   |

\*- no biocide of *Thymol* is expected in the prepared samples hence the method can be used

Each participating laboratory is required to check the data for the ion balance ( $R_1$ ) and the calculated vs. measured EC ( $R_2$ ) to ensure the data meeting the criteria. If  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are out of the specified ranges then re-measurement, check with standard solutions, and/or inspection of calibration curves should be considered as specified in the Male’s QA/QC document.

**4. Data Acquisition and Handling**

**4.1 Data acquisition**

A template for data reporting has been prepared for each NIA to enter the sample information, operator’s information and analytical results, as seen in Table 4. The NIA will send the data to AIT and the UNEP RRC.AP within 1 week after completion of sample analysis, i.e. within 2 weeks after sample arrival. AIT and UNEP RRC.AP will work together to follow up with NIA to get the required data. AIT will notify NIA as soon as data is received. Reporting units of the analytical

parameters are followed the Male' QA/QC (pH in pH units, EC in mS/m and all ionic components are in  $\mu\text{mol/L}$  as seen in Table A1, Appendix A).

All laboratories are requested to submit data in the proposed format and the submission of the reports via electronic media, in addition to the documents, is strongly encouraged.

**Table 4: Template for data reporting**

| Organization name  |                               |                                |  |  | Code                                |          |      |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|----------|------|
| Department/Section   |                               |                                |  |  |                                     |          |      |
| Number of staff in charge of measurement   |                               |                                |  |  |                                     |          |      |
| Year of experience<br><i>(if more than 2 persons, a row be added)</i>            |                               |                                | Staff No.1:                            |  |                                     |          |      |
|  |                               |                                | Staff No.2:                            |  |                                     |          |      |
| Name of contact person   |                               |                                |  |  |                                     |          |      |
| Date of receiving samples  |                               |                                |  |  |                                     |          |      |
| Samples conditions at received   |                               |                                |  |  |                                     |          |      |
| Dates of measurement of different parameters <i>(specify for each parameter)</i> |                               |                                |  |  |                                     |          |      |
| Postal address   |                               |                                |  |  |                                     |          |      |
| Contact address  |                               |                                | Tel:                                   |  | Fax:                                |          |      |
|  |                               |                                | Email:                                 |  |                                     |          |      |
| Note   |                               |                                |  |  |                                     |          |      |
| Parameter  | Measurement/analytical method | Manufacturer/Type of equipment | Detection limits ( $\mu\text{mol/l}$ ) | Determination limits ( $\mu\text{mol/l}$ ) | Concentration ( $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ) |          | Note |
|  |                               |                                |  |  | Sample 1                            | Sample 2 |      |
| pH   |                               |                                |  |  | Aver $\pm$<br>STD                   |          |      |
| Temp*  |                               |                                |  |  |                                     |          |      |
| EC   |                               |                                |  |  |                                     |          |      |
| Temp*  |                               |                                |  |  |                                     |          |      |
| SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>  |                               |                                |  |  |                                     |          |      |
| NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>   |                               |                                |  |  |                                     |          |      |
| Cl <sup>-</sup>  |                               |                                |  |  |                                     |          |      |
| NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>   |                               |                                |  |  |                                     |          |      |
| Na <sup>+</sup>  |                               |                                |  |  |                                     |          |      |
| K <sup>+</sup>   |                               |                                |  |  |                                     |          |      |
| Ca <sup>2+</sup>   |                               |                                |  |  |                                     |          |      |
| Mg <sup>2+</sup>   |                               |                                |  |  |                                     |          |      |

\* - Temperature readings of the pH and EC meters (recommended value ~ 25°C)

#### 4.2 Data checking procedure

Upon receiving the required information and data AIT will recheck data using the procedures specified in the “Technical Document for Wet and Dry Deposition Monitoring for Malé Declaration” and closely follow the “Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Programme for Wet and Dry Deposition Monitoring for Malé Declaration” protocol.

##### (1) Calculation of ion balance ( $R_1$ )

Total anion ( $A_{eq}$ ) of equivalent concentration ( $\mu\text{eq/L}$ ) is calculated by summing the concentration of all anions ( $C$ :  $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ).

- $A_{eq} (\mu\text{eq/L}) = \sum n \cdot C_{Ai} (\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}) = 2C(\text{SO}_4^{2-}) + C(\text{NO}_3^-) + C(\text{Cl}^-)$

Where,  $n$  is electric charge and  $C_{Ai}$  = concentration ( $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ) of anion ‘i’.

- Total cation ( $C_{eq}$ ) equivalent concentration ( $\mu\text{eq/L}$ ) is calculated by summing the concentration of all cations ( $C$ :  $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ).

$$C_{eq} (\mu\text{eq/L}) = \sum n \cdot C_{Ci} (\mu\text{mol/L}) = 10^{(6-\text{pH})} + C(\text{NH}_4^+) + C(\text{Na}^+) + C(\text{K}^+) + 2C(\text{Ca}^{2+}) + 2C(\text{Mg}^{2+})$$

Where,  $n$  is electric charge and  $C_{Ci}$  = concentration ( $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ) of cation ‘i’.

- Calculation of ion balance ( $R_1$ )

$$R_1 (\%) = 100 \times (C_{eq} - A_{eq}) / (C_{eq} + A_{eq})$$

According to Male’ QA/QC procedure, the allowable ranges of  $R_1$  in different concentrations are given Table 5. Thus, obtained  $R_1$  will be compared with criteria in Table 5. If  $R_1$  is out of range, the data set will be marked with an appropriate flag (I) to indicate unsatisfactory data in term of ion balance and further data analysis will be made to reveal the parameters possibly causing the  $R_1$  to be out of range.

**Table 5: Allowable ranges for  $R_1$  in different concentrations**

| $C_{eq} + A_{eq} (\mu\text{eq/L})$ | $R_1 (\%)$ |
|------------------------------------|------------|
| <50                                | $\pm 30$   |
| 50-100                             | $\pm 15$   |
| >100                               | $\pm 8$    |

Sources: QA/QC program for wet and dry deposition monitoring for Male’ Declaration

##### (2) Comparison between calculated and measured electronic conductivity ( $R_2$ )

- Total electric conductivity ( $\Lambda$  calc) will be calculated as follows:

$$\Lambda \text{ calc (mS /m)} = \{349.7 \times 10^{(6-\text{pH})} + 80.0 \times 2C(\text{SO}_4^{2-}) + 71.5 C(\text{NO}_3^-) + 76.3 C(\text{Cl}^-) + 73.5 C(\text{NH}_4^+) + 50.1 C(\text{Na}^+) + 73.5 \times C(\text{K}^+) + 59.8 \times 2C(\text{Ca}^{2+}) + 53.3 \times 2C(\text{Mg}^{2+})\} / 10,000$$

Where,  $C$  denotes the molar concentrations ( $\mu\text{mol /L}$ ) of ions given in the parenthesis at 25°C. The constant value is ionic equivalent conductance at 25°C for each ion.

- The agreement (ratio of  $R_2$ ) between calculated ( $\Lambda_{calc}$ ) and measured ( $\Lambda_{meas}$ ) electric conductivity should be calculated as follows:  
$$R_2 = 100 \times (\Lambda_{calc} - \Lambda_{meas}) / (\Lambda_{calc} + \Lambda_{meas})$$
- The obtained  $R_2$  will be compared with standard values in Table 6. If  $R_2$  is out of range, the data set will be marked with an appropriate flag (C) to indicate unsatisfactory data in term of  $R_2$  criteria and further data analysis will be made to reveal the parameters possibly causing the  $R_2$  to be out of range.

**Table 6: Allowable ranges for  $R_2$  for different ranges of EC**

| $\Lambda$ measured (mS/m) | $R_2$ (%) |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| < 0.5                     | $\pm 20$  |
| 0.5 – 3                   | $\pm 13$  |
| > 3                       | $\pm 9$   |

Sources: QA/QC program for wet and dry deposition monitoring for Male' Declaration

### 5. Post – comparison verification: data analysis

Statistical analysis will be conducted for the analytical results received from all participating NIA laboratories. For each analytical parameter of the artificial rainwater samples the statistical estimates to be obtained include the Average, Minimum (Min), Maximum (Max), Standard Deviation (SD), and Number of data point (N). The difference between the averaged analytical values (from all NIA) and the prepared value will be calculated for each analytical parameter and presented in a summary table.

The data obtained from each NIA will be evaluated against the Data Quality Objectives (DQOs) of Malé Declaration which have been specified by the QA/QC program of the Malé Declaration, namely for every parameter the measured value should be within  $\pm 15\%$  of deviation from the true value. Thus, the accuracy of the data point (A):

$$A (\%) = 100 \times (\text{Prepared value} - \text{Analytical value}) / (\text{Prepared value})$$

Accuracy A will be calculated for analytical results of each parameter of the artificial rainwater samples and the data point will be evaluated by the excess of DQOs criteria:

- Flag "E" will be put to the data that exceed DQOs by a factor of 2, i.e between  $\pm 15\%$  and  $\pm 30\%$
- Flag "X" will be put to the data that exceed DQOs more than a factor of 2, i.e. beyond  $\pm 30\%$  (<-30% or >30%).

(In addition, as mentioned above, the Flag "I" and the flag "C" will be added to the data sets with a poor ion balance and conductivity agreement, respectively). A list of the flags is given in Appendix B.

The results will be evaluated by the three aspects: sample wise, parameter wise and the circumstance of analysis in NIA, as presented below.

#### 5.1 Evaluation of data quality by sample (sample wise)

Evaluation will be made for each concentration level separately (high and low) to assess the performance of NIA related to the concentration levels of constituents in the samples.

#### 5.2 Evaluation of data quality by individual parameters (parameter wise)



The analytical results by each NIA will be normalized (subtracted) by the prepared values and the results will be tabulated and graphically presented to assess the deviation for each parameter (of 10 parameters) in both samples. Evaluation against analytical methods and the flagged data will also be made.

**5.3 *Evaluation against circumstances of analysis in each participating laboratory***

- Methods used for chemical analysis: recommended methods by Technical documents for Wet and Dry Deposition Monitoring for Malé Declaration and other methods used by NIA. The quality of data (flagged data) vs. analytical methods will be presented.
- Number of staff in charge with the measurement in each NIA: number of flagged data points vs. the operator(s) in each NIA. (*The operators will be presented in letters such as A and B, no name will be mentioned*).
- Years of experiences of operators vs. the data quality (the number of flagged data points)
- Water temperature of measurement of pH and EC. The flagged data points will be highlighted.

**5.4 *Comparison between the first and second inter-lab comparison attempt***

This exercise will be done only after the second attempt.

It is suggested that the exercise to be repeated every year in July-August.

**5.5 *Suggestions/recommendations to improve the data quality***

A set of suggestions/recommendations will be made and communicated to NIA in order to possibly improve the data quality.

**6. *Results dissemination***

Results of each the inter-laboratory comparison QA exercise will be compiled in a report and submitted to the UNEP RRC.AP. Presentations will be made at the Male' Declaration training-refresher in 2008.

**References**

1. UNEP RRCAP, 2004: Technical Documents for Wet and Dry Deposition monitoring for Malé Declaration. March 2004. Adopted from:  
<http://www.rrcap.unep.org/ew/air/male/manual/wetDry/03-chapter3.pdf>.
2. Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Programme for Wet and Dry Deposition Monitoring for Malé Declaration. March 2004. Adopted from:  
<http://www.rrcap.unep.org/ew/air/male/manual/wetDry/12-QAQC.pdf>
3. Reports of the EANET Inter – laboratory comparison Project 2003 (Round robin analysis survey 6<sup>th</sup> – 7<sup>th</sup> – 8<sup>th</sup> Attempts) 2004, 2005, and 2006.

**Appendix A: QA program for the inter-lab comparison**

- a. Design a data template for participating labs to enter results
- b. Guideline for sample handling for NIA
  - Record the date and conditions of the samples at arrival to the NIA lab
  - Notify AIT laboratory and RRC.AP that the samples have been received.
  - Deionized water to be used (EC <0.15 mS/m) to rinse the equipment, glassware that would be in contact with the samples.
- c. Analytical procedure:
  - Temperature (25°C) of water for measuring EC, pH
  - If storage is required before analysis (not more than a week) samples to be refrigerated and necessary measures to be taken (tightly capped, keep in clean refrigerators) to prevent cross-contamination from other samples, etc.
  - Samples to be analyzed as soon as received and should be within 1 week after the samples arrive.
  - NIA is expected to analyze each sample for a few times (at least 3 times) to ensure the precision.
- d. AIT follow-up analysis after sending the samples:
  - AIT laboratory will store the same samples both in cool temperature (4°C) and in room temperature
  - The samples will be analyzed day by day or every 2 days after departing samples to NIA in order to detect any change of concentrations in samples with storage time and storage methods.

**Table A1: Reporting units of analytical parameters**

| Analyte                       | Reporting Units     |        |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| pH                            | pH Unites           | -      |
| EC                            | milli siemens/meter | mS/m   |
| SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> | micro mole/liter    | µmol/L |
| NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>  | micro mole/liter    | µmol/L |
| Cl <sup>-</sup>               | micro mole/liter    | µmol/L |
| NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>  | micro mole/liter    | µmol/L |
| Na <sup>+</sup>               | micro mole/liter    | µmol/L |
| K <sup>+</sup>                | micro mole/liter    | µmol/L |
| Ca <sup>2+</sup>              | micro mole/liter    | µmol/L |
| Mg <sup>2+</sup>              | micro mole/liter    | µmol/L |

### **Appendix B: list of flags**

I = unsatisfactory ion balance (R1)

C = unsatisfactory in term of the electronic conductivity criteria (R2)

E = The data point exceeding DQOs by a factor of 2, i.e between  $\pm 15\%$  and  $\pm 30\%$

X = The data point exceeding DQOs more than a factor of 2, i.e. beyond  $\pm 30\%$  ( $< -30\%$  or  $> 30\%$ ).