

# Elaboration of logical framework for a GCF Concept Note proposal

Sessions 08 and 09



## Your task

### Morning session

- Each group to **elaborate one logframe** for a GCF mitigation proposal
- **Write up** logframe (use computer) – select **reporter**
- You have **2.5 hours** to write logframe
- Select **presenter**

### Afternoon session

- Selected groups will present their logframe
- Each presentation will be discussed in plenary

😊 Good luck – trainers will support you 😊



## Keep in mind

- Average of all approved GCF proposals:
  - USD 134.2 million total project size, including USD 43.3 million GCF co-finance (32%)
- Average of all approved GCF mitigation proposals:
  - USD 177.2 million total project size, including USD 44.8 million GCF co-finance (25%)
  - 3 mitigation projects include mainly soft loan (e.g., 20 years, 0.75% interest); 2 projects include grants; 1 equity and 1 loan guarantee



## Keep in mind

- Choice of accredited entity (AE) is key:
  - ...for maximum project size
  - ...for risk category
  - ...direct vs. international access
  - ...intermediary function, if needed
  - ...AEs' have own project development and approval procedures which might differ from GCF procedures

We will discuss these issues after completing the logframe, but do choose an AE



## Keep in mind

- Use the files “[GCF RMF initial logical model incl performance indicators.pdf](#)” and “[GCF initial PMF.pdf](#)” to support your work.
- You may also wish to refer to approved funding proposals to generate new ideas.
- Use the problem tree method in case there are difficulties in writing the logframe (Peter will assist you, in case).



# “Problem tree” method

Step 2

What is the effect of this?

Immediate effect

Step 1

What is the cause of this?

Core problem

Step 2

What is the cause of this?

Immediate cause

Step 2 cont'd.

Secondary cause



## “Problem tree” best practices

- Problems should be evidence based
- Identify cause and effect relationships
- Immediate causes suggest the major aspects of the core problem
- Identify the right problem
- Used to directly identify the hierarchy of outcomes; note that outcomes are predetermined by the GCF
- Inclusive discussions




## Project Logical Framework (LogFrame)

- Required by the GCF for Full Funding Proposals, but most useful already at Concept Note stage
- A tool for planning and managing projects
- Systematically presents information about the key components



# Project Logical Framework (LogFrame)



\* Outcomes and Impacts are pre-determined by the GCF

# GCF Logic Framework forms

H.1.1. Paradigm Shift Objectives and Impacts at the Fund level <sup>1</sup>						
Paradigm shift objectives						
Choose appropriate expected result	Please elaborate on the paradigm shift objectives to which the project/programme contributes.					
Expected Result	Indicator	Means of Verification (MoV)	Baseline	Target		Assumptions
				Mid-term (if applicable)	Final	
Fund-level impacts						
Choose appropriate expected results	Please select relevant GCF indicators from the Fund's <a href="#">performance measurement framework</a> . More than one indicator may be selected per expected impact result.					
Choose appropriate expected results						



# GCF Logic Framework forms

H.1.2. Outcomes, Outputs, Activities and Inputs at Project/Programme level						
Expected Result	Indicator	Means of Verification (MoV)	Baseline	Target		Assumptions
				Mid-term (if applicable)	Final	
<b>Project/programme outcomes</b>	<b>Outcomes that contribute to Fund-level impacts</b>					
Choose expected outcome	Please select relevant GCF indicators from the Fund's <a href="#">performance measurement framework</a> . More than one indicator may be selected per expected impact result.					
Specify other expected results						
Specify other expected results						
<b>Project/programme outputs</b>	<b>Outputs that contribute to outcomes</b>					
1.						
2.						
3.						
<b>Activities</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Inputs</b>		<b>Description</b>		
1.1.		1.1.1.				
1.2.		1.1.2.				
2.1.		1.1.3.				
...		...				

## Logic Framework exercise

- In the following exercise we will be working with the second form (previous slide).
- To elaborate your LogFrame, please use the file entitled “[session 08 GCF logframe template.docx](#)”



## AEs relevant for South East Asia

	Proj. Size	Proj. Mgmt.	On-granting	Lending	Risk cat.
AFD	Large	x	x	x	A
ADB	Large	x	x	x	A
CI	Medium	x	x		C
Crédit Agric.-CIB	Large	x		x	A
Deutsche Bank	Large	x	x	x	A
GIZ	Medium	x	x		B
EIB	Large	x	x	x	A
FAO	Medium	x			B
HSBC	Large	x		x	A
World Bank	Large	x	x	x	A

## AEs relevant for South East Asia

	Proj. Size	Proj. Mgmt.	On-granting	Lending	Risk cat.
IFC	Large	x	x	x	A
IFAD	Medium	x	x	x	B
IUCN	Medium	x	x		B
KfW	Large	x	x	x	A
FMO	Large	x	x	x	A
UNDP	Medium	x			B
UNEP	Small	x			B
WFP	Micro	x			C
WMO	Small	x			C

## AEs relevant for South East Asia – to be considered in Dec 2016

	Proj. Size	Proj. Mgmt.	On-granting	Lending	Risk cat.
PT SMI	Small	x	x	x	B2
Proparco	Large	x	x	x	A1
WWF	Medium	x	x		B2

In addition (direct access, national): FECO China and Korea Development Bank

