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### Table 2.1: Emissions of key transboundary air pollutants in South Asian countries in 2000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Emissions (Tg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2: Sectoral shares (%) in NOₓ emission in selected Asian Mega cities in 1990 and 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>1990 (%)</th>
<th>2020 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.3: Sources of VOCs in India

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.4: Biomass burned in South Asian countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Biomass Burned (Tg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.5: Ambient Air Quality Standards of the South Asian countries in comparison to EU, USEPA and WHO, µg/m³

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Standard (µg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.6: Sulfur content in diesel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel Type</th>
<th>Sulfur Content (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet fuel</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1: Fuel taxes in Finland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel Type</th>
<th>Tax (€/liter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet fuel</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1: Percentage of cities in Two Control Zones meeting SO₂ Grades

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Zone</th>
<th>Percentage of Compliant Cities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zone A</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone B</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2: NOₓ Emission Limit by Boiler Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boiler Type</th>
<th>NOₓ Limit (g/kWh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.3: Preferential Additional Registration Fee (PARF) Structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>PARF (€/vehicle)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.4: Result of 2nd Bidding Process conducted on 18 July 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bidder</th>
<th>Bid Amount (€)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Company A</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company B</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company C</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.5: Average speed during peak hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Average Speed (km/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 am - 9 am</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 pm - 3 pm</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.6: 24-hr PSI at 4pm, 25 July 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>PSI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4pm</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.7: Ambient Air Quality of Delhi from 1998 - 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>NOₓ (µg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.8: Emission ceiling 2010 according to the Gothenburg Protocol and status 1990 and 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Emission Ceiling (Tg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.9: Maximum Allowable Limit on Concentration of pollutants in outdoor air and the date by which the limit value is to be met

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Limit (µg/m³)</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.10: Assessment threshold for health protection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Threshold (µg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.11: Assessment threshold for the protection of vegetation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Threshold (µg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>Atmospheric Brown Cloud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADB</td>
<td>Asian Development Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS</td>
<td>Area Licensing Scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAT</td>
<td>Best Available Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAAA</td>
<td>Clean Air Act Amendment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAC</td>
<td>Command and Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>Methane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLRTAP</td>
<td>Convention on Long Range Transboundary Air Pollutants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNG</td>
<td>Compressed Natural Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>Carbon dioxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COE</td>
<td>Certificate of Entitlement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSE</td>
<td>Center for Science and Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERC</td>
<td>Emission Reduction Credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERC</td>
<td>Emission Reduction Credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERP</td>
<td>Electronic road pricing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EV</td>
<td>Electric Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Fiscal Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBP</td>
<td>Great Britain Pound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEO</td>
<td>Global Energy Outlook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG</td>
<td>Greenhouse Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEA</td>
<td>International Energy Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPCC</td>
<td>Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPPC</td>
<td>Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAAQS</td>
<td>National Ambient Air Quality Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEC</td>
<td>National Emission Ceiling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEQS</td>
<td>National Environmental Quality Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>Ammonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMVOC</td>
<td>Non-methane Volatile Organic Compound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
<td>Nitrogen Oxides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSPS</td>
<td>New Source Performance Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>Organisation for Economic Co-operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMV</td>
<td>Open Market Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARF</td>
<td>Preferential Additional Registration Fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEPA</td>
<td>Pakistan Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSI</td>
<td>Pollutant Sub Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPS</td>
<td>Renewable Portfolio Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RZ</td>
<td>Restricted Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCR</td>
<td>Selective Catalytic Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEK</td>
<td>Swedish Kroner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPA</td>
<td>Singapore Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPA</td>
<td>State of Environmental Protection Agency-China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPA</td>
<td>Swedish Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIP</td>
<td>State Implementation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SO}_2$</td>
<td>Sulfur dioxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPM</td>
<td>Suspended Particulate Matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAP</td>
<td>Transboundary Air Pollution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCZ</td>
<td>Two Control Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGC</td>
<td>Tradable Green Certificate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOMA</td>
<td>Tropospheric Ozone Management Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>United Nations Development Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNECE</td>
<td>United Nations Economic Commission for Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNEP</td>
<td>United Nations Environment Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNESCAP:</td>
<td>United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USEPA</td>
<td>United States Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>Volatile Organic Compound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VQS</td>
<td>Vehicle Quota Scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter I  Introduction

The South Asian region is the home of over a billion people, which accounts for over 22% of the global population. With increasing dependence on fossil fuels, countries in the region are faced with the growing problems of local and transboundary air pollution in recent years. According to Carmichael et al. (2002), sulfur emissions in Southeast and South Asia (particularly India) would continue to increase rapidly and regional air pollution problems would intensify. Similarly, \( \text{NO}_x \) emission in South Asia is also likely to grow more rapidly in future to a large extent due to rapid growth in oil and gas based road transport sector. Many urban areas in the region are also facing increased levels of air pollution and poor air quality. With growing urbanization and motorization, it is likely that urban air quality in South Asia would worsen in future in the absence of appropriate strategies and mechanisms to manage the air quality.
In 1998, the Environment Ministers of South Asia adopted the Declaration for Prevention of Transboundary Air Pollution (also known as the Malé Declaration). Following the Male’ Declaration, a number of activities have been carried out already in two phases for the implementation of the Malé Declaration. The ongoing phase (i.e., Phase III) of the implementation program of the Malé Declaration aims at providing inputs to stakeholders to facilitate coordinated interventions on transboundary air pollution at national and regional levels.

1.2 Objective
One of the specific objectives of Phase III for the implementation of the Malé Declaration is to provide decision support information for formulation of policies for air pollution control and prevention. Towards meeting the objective, an activity has been undertaken to prepare a compendium of good practices in preventing and controlling air pollution that have been adopted in different countries in the world and to identify potential strategies to implement and upscale the good practices in South Asia. The objective of this report is to discuss key issues related to air pollution in South Asia and present some promising good practices on the control of air pollution, which could help the policy makers in the formulation of effective policies and measures to control and prevent the emissions of transboundary air pollutants.

1.3 Scope
The report discusses the key issues related to emissions of air pollutants in South Asia and compiles good practices on control and prevention of emission of key transboundary air pollutants.

1.4 Organization of the report
This report is organized as follows: Major issues related to air pollution in South Asia are discussed in Chapter II. The chapter includes a discussion of energy consumption, energy-mix and their implications for pollutant emissions in different sectors. The chapter also discusses the existing regulatory frameworks in South Asian countries for managing air quality. Chapter III first discusses major international agreements/protocols and national acts, which are followed by a discussion of different approaches for control and prevention of air pollution including practical examples of their adoption in different countries. In Chapter IV, selected cases of the good practices to reduce air pollution and thus to improve the air quality in different countries are presented. The final chapter presents a summary and concluding remarks.
Chapter II | Status and major issues of transboundary air pollution in South Asia

2.1 Introduction
Emissions of key transboundary air pollutants have been growing in Asia. According to Foell et al. (1995), sulfur emission in Asia would grow by a factor of 2.2 during 1990-2020. However, a more recent study (Streets et al., 2000) shows that the emission would increase by about 30% during the same period for a number of reasons (e.g., two-control zone policy in China, de-emphasis of coal use, fuel switching and spread of environmental awareness from East Asia to Southeast Asia and ultimately to Indian subcontinent). A study by Charmichael et al. (2002) reveals that sulfur deposition increased in most of Asia during 1975-2000 and that the largest increases have occurred in Southeast Asia and South Asia. The study also states that the area of high sulfur deposition (i.e., above 0.5g of sulfur per m² per annum) has expanded in South Asia during 1975-2000.
According to Aardenne et al. (1999), NO\textsubscript{x} emission is estimated to grow by a factor of 3.5 in Asia during 1990-2020. During the period, NO\textsubscript{x} emission from South Asia is estimated to increase by 5.5 fold.

South Asian economies have wide variations in terms of energy mix. The major types of fuel being used are coal, oil and biomass. Increasing use of the fossil fuels is the source of rising emissions of the transboundary pollutants in the region. The power, industry and transport sectors are mostly responsible for air pollution in the region. In this chapter, major issues of air pollution in South Asia are discussed.

### 2.2 Status of major transboundary air pollutants emission in South Asia\textsuperscript{1}

According to Streets et al. (2003), total emissions of SO\textsubscript{2}, NO\textsubscript{x}, NMVOC and NH\textsubscript{3} from Asia as a whole in year 2000 were 34,316 Gg, 26,768 Gg, 52,150 Gg, and 27,519 Gg respectively\textsuperscript{2} (Table 2.1). Six of the South Asian countries (Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Nepal, Pakistan and Sri Lanka) together accounted for 21% of the total SO\textsubscript{2} emission in Asia. Similarly, the study shows that these countries accounted for 20% of total NO\textsubscript{x} emission, 26% of total NMVOC emission and 35% of NH\textsubscript{3} emission in Asia. As can be seen from Table 2.1, India is the largest emitter of SO\textsubscript{2}, NO\textsubscript{x}, NMVOC and NH\textsubscript{3} among the six South Asian countries shown in the table and accounts for 77%, 84%, 79% and 78% of total emissions of SO\textsubscript{2}, NO\textsubscript{x}, NMVOC and NH\textsubscript{3} respectively from the six countries as a group. The second largest emitter among the six countries is Pakistan, which accounts for 20%, 10%, 10% and 13% of the total SO\textsubscript{2}, NO\textsubscript{x}, NMVOC and NH\textsubscript{3} emissions from the six countries respectively. The remaining four countries together accounted for 3%, 6%, 11% and 11% of SO\textsubscript{2}, NO\textsubscript{x}, NMVOC and NH\textsubscript{3} respectively.

### Table 2.1: Emissions of key transboundary air pollutants in South Asian countries in 2000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countries/Region</th>
<th>Total SO\textsubscript{2} emission (Gg)</th>
<th>Total NO\textsubscript{x} emission (Gg)</th>
<th>Total NMVOC emission (Gg)</th>
<th>Total NH\textsubscript{3} emission (Gg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhutan</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>5,536</td>
<td>4,591</td>
<td>10,844</td>
<td>7399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>1416</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>1344</td>
<td>1214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of Six countries</td>
<td>7194</td>
<td>5470</td>
<td>13664</td>
<td>9646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>34,316</td>
<td>26,768</td>
<td>52,150</td>
<td>27,519</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Streets et al., 2003.*

\textsuperscript{1} Unless stated otherwise, the term “South Asia” in this chapter refers to the group of following countries: Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Nepal, Pakistan and Sri Lanka.

\textsuperscript{2} 1 Gg = 10\textsuperscript{9} g.
According to Garg et al. (2001 and 2006), SO$_2$ emission at the all India level increased from 2.4 Tg in 1985 to 4.8 Tg in 2005$^3$, i.e., at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of about 3.5% during 1985-2005. In terms of emission by fuel type, coal contributed to 64% of total SO$_2$ emissions in India in 1990, while petroleum products and biomass accounted for 29% and 4.5% of the emission respectively and non-energy consumption contributed to 2.5% of the emission. The share of natural gas use in SO$_2$ emissions was negligible.

Recent information on sectoral contributions to total SO$_2$ emission are lacking for most countries. Studies on India by Garg et al. (2001, 2006) show that the power sector has the largest share in total SO$_2$ emissions of India. The share increased from 46% to 56% during 1995-2005. The industry sector is the second largest SO$_2$ emitter and its share has decreased from 36% to 32% during the same period. The share of the transport sector has decreased from 7.8% to 2.5%. Furthermore, the share of biomass consumption had decreased from 6% to 4.3% during the period. A study by Shrestha et al. (1998) on SO$_2$ emissions in Asia based on 1990 data (assuming no further control is carried out under existing laws and regulations) shows that the largest source of sulfur emission in South Asia was the power sector followed by the industrial and residential sectors. The transport sector had had the smallest contribution in the emission of sulfur (Figure 2.1). In the case of Pakistan, the industry sector had the large contribution owing to the coal and oil use. In Nepal and Bhutan, the residential sector had the highest share in sulfur emission largely due to the consumption of traditional fuelwood using conventional biomass stoves.

![Figure 2.1: Share of SO$_2$ emission in South Asia (based on data 1990s data) (Shrestha et al., 1998)](image)

Note: Residential sector accounts combined emission from residential, commercial and agricultural sector. Data on Maldives are not available. However, the major source of sulfur emission in Maldives is the power sector, which is fully based on petroleum products.

$^3$ 1 Tg = $10^{12}$ g.
According to Garg et al. (2006), NO\textsubscript{x} emission in India was 5.02 Tg in 2005 and was growing at the CAGR of 4.4% during 1985-2005. The transport sector is the largest source of NO\textsubscript{x} emission in India followed by the power sector. The share of the transport sector had increased from 31% to 38% during 1985-2005, while the share of the power sector had increased from 17% to 30% during the period. On the contrary, the share of industrial sector had decreased from 21% to 17% during the same period. Aardenne et al. (1999) estimates that the NO\textsubscript{x} emission in the South Asian region would grow significantly by 2020 (Figure 2.2). The study estimates that the NO\textsubscript{x} emission in India would grow by a factor of 5 in 2020 as compared to the 1990 level and that the transport sector would be the largest contributor (more than 50%) to total NO\textsubscript{x} emission in India by 2020 (Figure 2.3). The study also estimated NO\textsubscript{x} emission in selected megacities of Asian countries and highlighted that the transport sector would be the largest contributor to NO\textsubscript{x} emissions (Table 2.2).

![Figure 2.2: NO\textsubscript{x} emission in South Asian countries in 1990 and 2020 (Aardenne et al., 1999)](image)

**Table 2.2: Sectoral shares (%) in NO\textsubscript{x} emission in selected Asian Mega cities in 1990 and 2020**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Bangkok</th>
<th>Delhi</th>
<th>Jakarta</th>
<th>Manila</th>
<th>Seoul</th>
<th>Beijing</th>
<th>Shanghai</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conversion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large point source</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total NO\textsubscript{x} (Gg)</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>1382</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Aardenne et al., 1999*
Country specific emissions of VOCs are mostly lacking in South Asia. Streets et al. (2003) has estimated total emission of NMVOCs in the South Asian countries (excluding Maldives) to be 13,664 Gg in 2000, of which India accounted for nearly 80%. A study by Varshney et al. (1999) has studied anthropogenic emissions of VOC by different sources in India. The study estimates that the burning of biomass is the major contributor to NMVOC emissions (Table 2.3). Rice (paddy) fields and livestock together are estimated to emit more than half of the total VOCs emission in the region (Varshney et al., 1999).

Table 2.3: Sources of VOCs in India

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Total VOCs (tons)</th>
<th>Share (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuelwood and agricultural straw burning</td>
<td>4,706,813</td>
<td>21.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum industry: production and refining</td>
<td>1,007,878</td>
<td>4.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel consumption for power generation</td>
<td>161,354</td>
<td>0.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas: production and distribution</td>
<td>518,040</td>
<td>2.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>975,515</td>
<td>4.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>1,343,886</td>
<td>6.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal mining ((\text{CH}_4))</td>
<td>531,200</td>
<td>2.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice paddy fields ((\text{CH}_4))</td>
<td>4,223,417</td>
<td>19.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others (livestock) ((\text{CH}_4))</td>
<td>7,984,622</td>
<td>37.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>21,452,725</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Varshney et al., 1999.*
2.3 Issues related to air pollution in South Asia

In this section, issues related to air pollution in South Asia are discussed. The issues include: (1) Growing thermal power generation and the role of coal, (2) low efficiency in power generation, (3) inefficient coal preparation/cleaning mechanism, (4) lack of emission control mechanism in power plants, (5) lack of regulations on industrial pollution and enforcement of existing regulations, (6) urbanization and growth of personal transport vehicles, (7) high dependence on biomass fuel burning in rural areas, (8) lack of information on emission source apportionment, (9) lack of effective regulatory and economic policies to improve air quality and (10) lack of comprehensive and regular monitoring of air pollution. Each of them is discussed next.

2.3.1 GROWING THERMAL POWER GENERATION AND THE ROLE OF COAL

In South Asia as a whole, coal accounts for 72% (147,368 ktoe) of total energy used in power generation. Nearly 99% of the coal use for power generation in the region (i.e., 147,287 ktoe) took place in India in 2004, while the rest was used for power generation in Pakistan (IEA, 2006c). Coal based electricity generation accounted for 80% of total electricity generation in India in 2004 (Figure 2.4).

![Figure 2.4: Fuel mix in the power sector](image-url)

Sources: IEA (2004a) except for Bhutan and Maldives, DOEMTI/TERI (2005) for Bhutan; estimates for Maldives are based on information provided in UNEP/RRCAP (2002).
According to Garg et al. (2006), the total SO\textsubscript{2} emission of India reached to 4.8 Tg in 2005, while Streets et al. (2003) estimated the emission to be 5.54 Tg in year 2000. Though there are variations in the estimated values, these studies show that the coal use in the power sector is mainly responsible for the high share of SO\textsubscript{2} emission in India.

With the high growth of economy in India, IEA (2006b) makes a projection that coal demand in the country would increase from 441 million tonnes in 2004 to 1,020 million tonnes by 2030 in the IEA’s Reference Scenario. However, GOI (2006) has estimated the coal requirement of the country in 2031/32 to range from a low value of 1,580 Mt to a high value of 2,555 Mt under various scenarios. The consumption of coal in India had increased from 140 Mt in 1984 to over 400 Mt in 2004 with a growth rate of 5.4% (GOI, 2006). In this context, if coal import is to be avoided in future, India has to increase its domestic coal production in order to meet its growing coal demand (GOI, 2006).

In Pakistan, coal has historically played a rather minor role in power generation. However, the recent discovery of large stock of low ash, low sulfur lignite will probably increase its use in future. Thermal power stations are mainly based on natural gas (besides some generation using furnace oil and diesel) (WAPDA, 2007) and accounted for over 80% of total electricity generation in Year 2004 (Figure 2.1). In Sri Lanka power supply is predominantly hydro-based, however, it is now gearing towards thermal electricity generation. Thermal power generation share has increased from below 1% in 1990s (Siyambalapitiya et al, 1993) to around 30% recently. There are several diesel-fired power plants with installed capacity of 405 MW in Sri Lanka. The country has a plan to build its first coal-fired power plant which will be equipped with particulate, SO\textsubscript{2} and NO\textsubscript{x} emissions control systems. By 2010, the installed capacity of thermal power plants in Sri Lanka would reach 2,200 MW (UNEP RRCAP, 2007). Overall, with the increasing power generation based on fossil fuels (like coal and diesel), SO\textsubscript{2} emission from the power sector is likely to grow in South Asia in future.

Besides power generation, coal is also used as a source of energy in the industrial sector in South Asia (IEA, 2006c). In some countries like Iran and India, coal is also used in residential and commercial sectors but their shares are relatively lower than in the industrial sector. On the other hand, the transport sector has the largest share in the consumption of petroleum products followed by industrial and residential sectors (IEA, 2006c).

2.3.2 LOW EFFICIENCY IN POWER GENERATION

There is a wide variation in efficiency of electricity generation in Asia. In South Asia as a whole, coal plays a major role in power generation mainly because of the dominant share of coal in the power sector of India. The overall efficiency of coal fired power
generation in India was 29.6% in year 2004 (IEA, 2007), which is significantly lower than the corresponding figure in the OECD countries as a whole (41.9%) (Figure 2.5). It is also lower than the average efficiency of coal fired power plants in Asia. The efficiency of coal-fired electric power plants on an average in Asia as a whole (excluding China) was 32.5% in 2004, while the corresponding values for China and Thailand were 30% and 40.3% respectively. If the efficiency of coal fired power generation in India was improved to the level of the OECD, the coal requirement and SO$_2$ emission would be reduced by 29.4%. Similarly, if the efficiency of coal fired power generation in India was improved to the level of Thailand, the coal requirement and SO$_2$ emission would be reduced by 26.5%.

![Figure 2.5: Efficiency of coal fired power generation in selected countries in year 2004](Image)

*Sources: IEA, 2006c*

### 2.3.3 INEFFICIENT COAL PREPARATION/CLEANING MECHANISM

Coal production in India has been largely based on open-cast mining (WCI, 2006; GOI, 2006). Inefficient exploitation of in-place coal reserves and lack of control on mining practices are some of the factors that have led to deterioration in the quality of domestic thermal coal over the years (GOI, 2006). Although the sulfur content of Indian coal is low, it has high ash content, which can result in higher emissions of suspended particulate matters (SPM) (GOI, 2006). Further, the domestic coal in India has low calorific value – i.e., 4000 kcal/kg (on an average) as compared to 6000 kcal/kg of the imported coal. Furthermore, the average calorific value of coal used in India’s power plants is reported to be about 3,500 kcal/kg (GOI, 2006). Presently untreated coal with
ash content typically in the range 40-45% is used in the power sector in India (DTI, 2000). The Ministry of Environment and Forests (MOEF) of India has introduced a regulation prohibiting the use of unwashed coal containing more than 34% ash if this has to be transported to a distance longer than 1000 km. As the power stations are often located at longer distances from the major coal fields, this regulation might give a push for clean coal preparation technologies. However, the effectiveness of the regulation would depend upon the level of its enforcement. The increased dependence of the power sector on lower quality coal has been associated with increased emissions of particulate matters, toxic elements, fly ash, oxides of nitrogen, sulfur and carbon from power plants (UNEP, 2001).

2.3.4 LACK OF EMISSION CONTROL MECHANISM IN POWER PLANTS

At present, most of the coal use for power generation in the South Asia region takes place in India. Existing coal-fired power generation in India is mainly based on conventional sub-critical pulverized combustion technologies with low efficiency of conversion. The country is moving towards greater use of clean coal technologies and has plans for addition of 20 GWe of supercritical coal fired thermal units (WCI, 2006). However, the Indian coal has high ash content and results in high emission of suspended particulate matter (SPM). Since the Sulfur content of the Indian coal is reported to be low (GOI, 2006), controlling particulate matters through the use of electrostatic precipitators on existing and new generating units has been given a priority. Thus there are standards on maximum emission limit for particulate matters and for minimum stack height for thermal power stations in India (CPCB, 2007a).

Bangladesh started its first coal based power generation plant with an installed capacity of 250 MW in January 2006. Some coal mining projects are being planned in the country (EIA, 2007a). However, there is no emphasis on emissions control technology except that there is a standard for stack height of the power plant (DOE/BMEP, 2003).

There are no coal fired plants in Bhutan and Nepal at present nor is there any plan for having such plants in the future in these countries. Nepal is using some oil for captive power generation. With the increasing electricity demand during dry seasons in peak hours and shortage of generation capacity in the national grid, the usage of such power plants has been increasing (Nepal Electricity Authority, 2007).

2.3.5 LACK OF REGULATIONS ON INDUSTRIAL POLLUTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF EXISTING REGULATIONS

Industry sector is one of the major sources of transboundary air pollutants. Streets et al. (2003) states that the industrial sector is the second largest contributor to national SO₂ emissions after the power sector in South Asia. Emission estimates by disaggregated industry types are not available for most countries in South Asia. According to Garg et
al. (2006), iron and steel industry accounted for nearly one fifth of the total industry sector SO$_2$ emission in India in 2005 (Figure 2.6). Together iron and steel, cement and fertilizer industries accounted for nearly half of the industrial SO$_2$ emission in that country. In Bangladesh, the major SO$_2$ emitting industries are identified as textiles, non-ferrous metal, sugar and refineries, vegetable oil, iron and steel and tobacco (BBS, 2005). Similarly, textiles, sugar, refineries, cement and vegetable oil are reported as the major particulate emitting industries.

![Pie chart showing industry emissions of SO$_2$.](image)

**Figure 2.6: Shares in total industry sector SO$_2$ emission in India by type of industry in 2005** (Source: Garg et al., 2006)

While not much information on NO$_x$ emissions at the sectoral level are available for most South Asian countries, Garg et al. (2006) report that the industrial sector is the third largest contributor of NO$_x$ emission in India after the transport and power sectors (Industry sector accounted for 17% of total NO$_x$ emission in India in year 2005). Given the importance of the industry sector in emissions of pollutants, it is clear that significant regulatory mechanisms and/or economic policies are warranted to deal with the emissions from the sector.

In 2000, Pakistan imposed a pollution levy on industrial effluents (including discharges to the water and air). The pollution levy was set initially at Pakistani Rs. 50 per unit of pollution load and was to be increased proportionately in the following years till it reaches Rs.100 per unit pollution load (PEPA, 2000). The country also has a provision to provide tax incentives to the industries for importing pollution abatement equipments. However, this policy is yet to be implemented effectively.
Bhutan has introduced the “Environmental Discharge Standards for Industrial Pollution” effective from year 2000. These standards are mandatory for new industries. Also an environment fund has been created in that country to support the existing industries to upgrade and meet the new standard.

Although some initiatives have been undertaken by some countries in the region to introduce standards to regulate emissions in the industrial sector, they are not comprehensive and seem to be highly inadequate for an effective management of air quality. Further, there are indications that the enforcement of existing standards is weak in most countries.

2.3.6 URBANIZATION AND GROWTH OF PERSONAL TRANSPORT VEHICLES

South Asian countries are undergoing through rapid urbanization. As a result, the countries have witnessed high growth of energy consumption in transport, residential, industrial and commercial sectors in the cities and the resultant emissions of air pollutants (Guttikunda et al., 2003). Air quality in the cities (Dhaka, New Delhi, Calcutta, Mumbai, Chennai, Lahore and Karachi) under the study in South Asia has been deteriorating over the years (Guttikunda et al., 2003). Presently, air pollutants originating from the urban areas are recognized as the increasing sources of regional level pollution as well as greenhouse gases. Guttikunda et al., (2003) estimated that sulfur emission from urban centers in Asia would triple during 2000-2020 if current sulfur emission is unabated. Due to urbanization and motorization; concentration levels of suspended particulate matter (SPM) in particular are very high and exceeded WHO guidelines (Hayashi et al., 2004 and Siddiqi, 2007). One of the major causes of transport-related environmental problems in Asia is the severe traffic congestion resulting from growing vehicle stocks and lack of appropriate public transport infrastructure (Hayashi et al., 2004). This could be seen from the tremendous growth of passenger car ownerships in countries like India (Figure 2.7). For example, the total personal vehicle registration in Delhi,

![Figure 2.7: Stock of Passenger cars in South Asian Countries](source: UNEP, 2007.)
India has increased by 105% during 1996-2006; cars alone have increased by 157% and diesel cars have increased by 425% during the same period (CSE, 2006).

In a study by the Centre for Science and Environment (CSE) in India, it has warned that the level of pollution has started to increase (CSE, 2006). The rising pollution level in Delhi is due to the rapid growth in cars, especially diesel cars, in the city. It is reported that particulate levels are still very high and NO$_2$ levels are steadily rising (Figure 2.8).

![Figure 2.8: Trend of NO$_2$ and PM in Delhi](source: CSE, 2006)

In Delhi, diesel cars represented nearly 20% of new car registrations in 2006, up from 4% in 1999. While population of gasoline cars in the city has increased at 8.5% annually, diesel cars have maintained a growth rate of 16.6% during 1999-2006. According to the estimates of CSE, 118,631 diesel cars on the city’s roads is equivalent to adding particulate emissions from nearly 30,000 diesel buses (CSE, 2006). It further warns that the diesel personal cars are threatening to nullify the impact of the compressed natural gas (CNG) program.

Transport policies that consider environmental concerns at the national level are lacking in most countries. There is also lack of well defined policies to promote private participation in public transport in the region (Siddiqi, 2007). With the growth of population, economy and urbanization, travel demand has seen a rapid increase in the region. Countries in the region also suffer from an inefficient and inadequate public transport system, which result in a large switch to the use of personal motorized vehicles.
The stocks of low occupancy personal vehicles like 2-wheelers and cars are steadily increasing in South Asian cities and are contributing to increasing traffic congestions and air pollution. For example, in the past 30 years, total population of Delhi more than tripled, whereas the number of vehicles increased almost fifteen-fold (Bose et al., 2001).

In Thimphu, Bhutan, two-wheelers are estimated to have a share of 45% in total vehicle population, while cars and jeeps accounted for 35% and buses account for 2%. Traffic volume had increased by more than 100% during 1997-2003. It is projected that by 2020, the number of vehicles in Bhutan would rise to 100,000, with more than 45,000 in Thimphu alone (NECS, 2006). In Nepal, there has been a three fold increase in vehicle population during 1989-2004 with the total vehicle stock being 472,795 in FY2004. Two wheelers account for the largest share in total vehicle population (i.e., 43% in 1989 and 63% in 2004). In Pakistan the number of vehicles has increased from 0.8 million to 4 million during 1984-2004 showing an overall increase of more than 400% (PEPA, 2005).

Road transport vehicles are the major contributors of particulate matter emissions. The concentration levels of particulate matters greatly exceed the national and World Health Organization standards, and the vehicular transport is by far the largest source of air pollution in several cities in the region; e.g., in the Kathmandu Valley, vehicular emissions are reported to be responsible for 38% of the total PM$_{10}$ emission in the Valley (ICIMOD, 2007). A World Bank study on the Kathmandu Valley shows that particulate matters (PM$_{10}$) from vehicle exhaust increased to 471% during 1993-2001 (ADB, 2006a).

The foregoing discussion shows that the vehicle stock in South Asia is growing rapidly and would thus increase the pollution load further in the cities.

2.3.7 HIGH DEPENDENCE ON BIOMASS FUEL BURNING IN RURAL AREAS

Biomass (including agricultural waste and dung) has been the major source of energy for most of the rural households in the South Asia. The share of biomass in total primary energy is high in most countries of the region. In some countries of the region, e.g., Nepal, biomass is the predominant source of energy accounting for about 80% of the total primary energy supply. Mostly, biomass is used for residential cooking. Traditional firewood cooking stoves are mostly being used for the purpose. Biomass is also used in the industrial sector in several countries including India, Pakistan and Iran (IEA, 2006c).

Table 2.4 shows that the burning of crop residues is relatively high in South Asia (when compared to the total residues burning in Asia as a whole. The data in the table indicate that the use of biomass burning, especially crop residues, could be one of the major sources of VOCs in rural region of South Asian countries.
Table 2.4: Biomass burned in South Asian countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countries in South Asia</th>
<th>Biomass Burned (Tg)</th>
<th>Savannah/Grassland</th>
<th>Forest</th>
<th>Crop Residue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhutan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia Total</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Streets et al., 2003.

2.3.8 Lack of Information on Emission Source Apportionment

There is lack of information and scientific studies on source apportionment of air pollutants in both urban and rural areas in South Asian countries. Sound scientific studies and a database on the sources of emission and the manner they contribute to air quality are required in order to formulate/design effective air pollution control regulations, policies and strategies.

2.3.9 Lack of Effective Regulatory and Economic Policies to Improve Air Quality

Most countries in South Asia have developed some ambient air quality standards (see Table 2.5). In order to attain the air quality standards, one would need effective instruments (e.g., emission standards, technology standards, fuel quality standard or economic instruments like emission charge or permits). While Euro-I standard is being implemented in all countries for cars and heavy diesel trucks, India has implemented Euro-III in its 11 metropolitan cities and Euro II in the rest of the country. Several countries have also adopted standards on quality of diesel in terms of allowable limit on sulfur content (which vary from 0.05 to 1% across countries of the region) (see Table 2.6).

While some regulatory frameworks (e.g., standards) are in place in some countries, they are either inadequate or suffer from poor enforcement in most countries in the region. The approach of economic instruments (i.e., using emission charge or permits) is yet to be adopted by countries in the region except Pakistan, which has started levying emission charges to the industries after amending the National Environmental Quality Standards (NEQS) in 2000 (PEPA, 2001). The policy of emission charge in Pakistan requires the industries to monitor and report the emissions regularly as per the standard to the authority. Emissions exceeding the NEQS would be charged. Interestingly, the charge is collected by Chambers of Commerce and Industry. The outcome of the policy is yet to be evaluated.

---

4 Bhutan follows the USEPA and WHO guidelines in the absence of its own standards for ambient air quality.
5 The country has developed its own Industrial Emission Standards in 2004 (CAI, 2006 and NECS, 2006).
6 The rest of the country would implement Euro III by 2010
   (See Society of Indian Automobile Manufacturers Website: http://www.siamindia.com/scripts/technicalregulations.aspx).
### Table 2.5: Ambient Air Quality Standards of the South Asian countries in comparison to EU, USEPA and WHO, μg/m³

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Averaging Time</th>
<th>EU guidelines</th>
<th>USEPA guidelines</th>
<th>WHO guidelines</th>
<th>Iran*</th>
<th>Sri Lanka/Colombo</th>
<th>Bangladesh/Dhaka</th>
<th>Nepal/Ktm</th>
<th>India/Delhi/Kolkata/Mumbai</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Monoxide</td>
<td>15 mins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 mins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>40000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 hours</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen Dioxide</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 hours</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>year</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 hours</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur Dioxide</td>
<td>10 mins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 hours</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 hours</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 hours</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM_{10}</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM_{2.5}</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: CAI–Asia, 2007,*

*Data for Iran is extracted from Aziz (2006).*
Table 2.6: Sulfur content in diesel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Bhutan</th>
<th>Maldives</th>
<th>Pakistan</th>
<th>Iran</th>
<th>Sri Lanka</th>
<th>Bangladesh</th>
<th>Nepal</th>
<th>India</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur content in diesel</td>
<td>0.25%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1.0%*</td>
<td>0.05%#</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.25%</td>
<td>0.25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Extracted from state of environment of the countries
* PEPA, 2005, # Hustaie, 2000

2.3.10 LACK OF COMPREHENSIVE AND REGULAR MONITORING OF AIR POLLUTION

Inadequate air quality monitoring and lack of data have been a major hindrance in South Asian countries for assessing air quality and for formulating efficient policies and regulations for air quality management. The problems associated with the monitoring mainly include insufficient monitoring stations to have an adequate geographical coverage, lack of monitoring of all major pollutants and lack of regular monitoring. In India, particulate matters, $\text{SO}_2$ and $\text{NO}_x$ are monitored regularly (MoEF, 2006). In most other countries, it is only the particulate matters that are monitored regularly in few places.
Chapter III | International agreements and approaches for control and prevention of transboundary air pollution

3.1 Introduction
In the environmental economics and management literature, the approaches for environmental management are categorized as follows: i) command and control approaches, ii) market based approaches III) property rights based approach and iv) approaches based on voluntary action. In the case of transboundary air pollutants (TAPs), often collective actions by countries involved would be necessary in order to effectively deal with the transboundary air pollution problem. That means, countries involved in the transboundary pollution will have to commit themselves through an agreement to achieve necessary reductions in emission of pollutants by stipulated time frame. Thus, the first step towards the control of TAPs is to secure such an agreement among the countries involved in TAP emissions in a region. Once the target level of
emission reduction by each country is agreed, the next step for a country concerned is to formulate appropriate measures/strategies/policies to attain the committed emission reduction targets.

This chapter first discusses various important international treaties and agreements to prevent/control emissions of TAPs. Some of the treaties and agreements are designed to deal with a single pollutant, while others deal with multiple pollutants. The chapter then discusses different types of approaches and measures (including those based on command and control, market or economic instruments, and voluntary actions) adopted by various countries to attain their emission reduction targets.

3.2 Major treaties, protocols and acts

International/regional treaties on transboundary air pollution lead to formulation of protocols to reduce specific transboundary pollutants over specific time period. These protocols are ratified by member countries/states. Some major treaties and protocols are discussed next.

3.2.1 CONVENTION ON LONG-RANGE TRANSBOUNDARY AIR POLLUTION (CLRTAP)

The Convention on Long Range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP) is the initiative taken to address major environmental problems in United Nation Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) region (with special focus on Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia and South-East Europe). The Convention was adopted in 1979 in Geneva and entered into force in 1983. Up to now it has 51 parties (members) and it has been extended by eight protocols that identify specific measures to be taken by parties to the Convention to cut their emissions of air pollutants (UNECE, 2007a). The following are the protocols:

I) The 1999 Protocol to Abate Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground-level Ozone (Entered into force in 2005)


V) The 1991 Protocol concerning the Control of Emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds or their Transboundary Fluxes (Entered into force in 1997).

VI) The 1988 Protocol concerning the Control of Nitrogen Oxides or their Transboundary Fluxes (Entered into force in 1991)

VII) The 1985 Protocol on the Reduction of Sulfur Emissions or their Transboundary Fluxes by at least 30% (Entered into force in 1987)

The Convention was the first international legally binding instrument to deal with problems of air pollution on a broad regional basis in Europe. Besides laying down the general principles of international cooperation for air pollution abatement, the Convention also set up an institutional framework bringing together research and policy to combat transboundary air pollution in the region. The approach of the protocols adopted by the convention is either on the basis of stabilization of ambient level of emission in critical level, or on the effect based on critical load concept. The protocols on $SO_2$, $NO_x$, VOCs and $NH_3$ are discussed next.

### 3.2.1.1 Protocols on $SO_2$ Emission Reduction

**Helsinki Protocol**

Signed in Helsinki in 1985, this protocol required to reduce sulfur emissions by at least 30% between 1980 and 1993 (UNECE, 2007b). This was the first sulfur protocol under the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP). The protocol was able to have an average reduction of 46% among the signatories. Many western European signatories had achieved higher reduction than that. The reduction of sulfur emissions resulted in the reduction of ambient sulfur dioxide concentration by 40-60% in most places in the Western Europe.

**The Oslo Protocol**

This protocol was the second protocol on the reduction of sulfur emission, which was signed in 1994 in Oslo and came into force in 1998. It required further reductions on sulfur emission and stipulated most western European countries to reduce their sulfur emissions by 70 to 80% by the year 2000, while eastern European nations have reduction targets of typically between 40 to 50% (from the 1980 levels) (UNECE, 2007c).

The protocol was based on the effects-based approach using the critical load concept, best available technologies, energy savings and application of economic instruments. An effects-based approach aims at gradually attaining critical loads, sets long-term targets for reductions in sulfur emissions as it has been recognized that critical loads will not be reached in one single step (UNECE, 2007c). Further, the protocol had explicitly mentioned about the possible use of best available technologies and economic instruments for meeting the sulfur emission obligation by the signatories.

### 3.2.1.2 Protocols on $NO_x$ Emission Reduction

**The Sofia Protocol**

It was signed in 1988 in Sofia (Bulgaria) (UNECE, 2007d). The Sofia Protocol requires all signatories (which include European countries and United States) to ensure

---

* "Critical levels" means the concentration of pollutants in the atmosphere above which direct adverse effects on receptors, such as human beings, plants, ecosystems or materials, may occur, according to available knowledge.

* "Critical load" means a quantitative estimate of the exposure to one or more pollutants below which significant harmful effects on specified sensitive elements of the environment do not occur according to available knowledge.

* members who had ratified the protocol.
that their NO\textsubscript{x} emissions as from 1994 do not exceed their 1987 levels\textsuperscript{9}. The protocol had also stipulated several NO\textsubscript{x} reduction technological options (UNECE, 2007d). A reduction in NO\textsubscript{x} emission of 9% from the cumulative emission in year 1987 of all the signatories was achieved. Nineteen of the 25 signatories to the NO\textsubscript{x} Protocol had either reached the target by 1994 and stabilized emissions at 1987 levels or reduced emissions below that level.

### 3.2.1.3 Protocols on VOCs Emission Reduction

**The Geneva Protocol**

It was the first protocol adopted by the CLRTAP to control emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), the second major air pollutant responsible for the formation of the ground level ozone. It was signed in Geneva in 1991 and came into force in 1997. It stipulates three ways of choosing emission reduction targets (UNECE, 2007e). They were targeted to achieve emission reduction by 1999 with flexibility to choose base year in between 1984-1990 as per the data availability. These options are:

1) **30% reduction in emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) by 1999 using a base year lying between 1984 and 1990 (to be chosen by each country).** The base year chosen varied across the countries. Austria, Belgium, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom used 1988 as the base year, while it was 1985 in the case of Denmark, 1984 in the case of Liechtenstein, Switzerland and the United States, and 1990 in the case of Czech Republic, Italy, Luxembourg, Monaco and Slovakia.

2) **The same amount of reduction as in (1) as aforementioned within the Tropospheric Ozone Management Area (TOMA)\textsuperscript{10}**. The party can choose any base year emission level corresponding to a year during 1984-1990. However, it has to ensure that by 1999 total national emissions do not exceed the 1988 emission levels. (TOMAs in Norway chose base year as 1989 and Canada chose base year as 1988).

3) **If the total VOC emission in 1988 is lower than 500,000 tonnes, and 20 kg/inhabitant and 5 tonnes/km\textsuperscript{2}, the parties are to ensure stabilization at that emission level by year 1999. (This has been chosen by Bulgaria, Greece, and Hungary)** (UNECE, 2007e).

### 3.2.1.4 Protocols Dealing with Multi-Pollutants

**The Gothenburg Protocol (A multi-pollutant and multi-effects protocol)**

The protocol is a natural continuation of the earlier protocols under the CLRTAP. The protocol is unique in that it focuses on abatement of three effects namely

---

\textsuperscript{9} Except United States which chose 1978 as the reference year.

\textsuperscript{10} The Protocol designates TOMA 1 as the Lower Fraser Valley in the province of British Columbia and the Windsor-Quebec Corridor in the provinces of Ontario and Quebec in Canada; and TOMA 2 as
acidification, eutrophication, and ground-level ozone and targets emission reductions of four pollutants, namely sulfur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen oxides (NOₓ), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and ammonia (NH₃). The protocol was adopted by the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution in its seventeenth session in 1999 in Gothenburg (Sweden). The protocol is also called as ‘Multi-pollutant and multi-effects protocol’ (UNECE, 2007f). The protocol sets binding emission ceilings on emissions of the four air pollutants to be achieved by 2010. It also prescribed the application of emission and fuel standards (UNECE, 2007f).

3.2.2 EUROPEAN COMMISSION NATIONAL EMISSION CEILINGS DIRECTIVE (2001/81/EC)
This is a directive issued by the European Commission for limiting the emission of SO₂, NOₓ, NH₃ and VOCs. The directive enforces the Gothenburg Protocol with more stringent national ceilings than that of the protocol (European Parliament and the Council of the European Union, 2001). The directive came into force on 27 November 2001. With accession of new member states in the European Union, the directive was amended in 2003 and issued to the member states. The directive has issued national ceilings to these member states for the four pollutants and requires member states not to exceed the national ceilings of the four pollutants latest by 2010 (European Parliament and the Council of the European Union, 2003). The directive has issued interim environmental objectives, which aims to reduce the acidification and ground level ozone formulation effect of these pollutants by three different ways, which utilize either the control of all pollutants or achieve a level of ground level ozone not exceeding the ozone level of health based standard or vegetation standard. The EC-Directives issued in 2003 are discussed next.

- Acidification: In the areas, where critical loads are exceeded, emission of all pollutants is to be reduced by at least 50% compared to that of year 1990.
- Ground-level ozone (health): The ground-level ozone load above the critical level for human health is to be reduced by two-thirds in all grid cells compared to that of year 1990. In addition, the ground-level ozone load is not to exceed an absolute limit of 2.9 ppm.h in any area;
- Ground-level ozone (vegetation): The ground-level ozone load above the critical level for crops and semi-natural vegetation is to be reduced by one-third in all grid cells compared to that of 1990. In addition, the ground-level ozone load is not to exceed an absolute limit of 10 ppm.h, expressed as an exceedence of the critical level of 3 ppm.h in any area.
For compliance of the EC Directive (2001/81/EC), the member states are allowed to decide on the measures to adopt for compliance. For example, in Denmark, the government has set an annual sectoral SO$_2$ emission limit for power plants with a capacity of 25 MW or larger. There is a consortium of two power companies, which decides how to allocate the SO$_2$ emission limit (or cap) among their individual power plants (OECD, 1998). There is flexibility for utilities to exceed the limit by 10% in any one year, as long as the cumulative emission limit over four years is reached.

3.2.3 THE US CLEAN AIR ACT AMENDMENT 1990

In the United States, the approach to limit emission of transboundary air pollutants is primarily based on Title IV of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendment (CAA). Studies in the US during the late 1980s suggested that SO$_2$ was the largest contributor to acid rain and that the electricity sector accounted for two-thirds of the SO$_2$ emissions. Therefore, the US approach had a major focus on SO$_2$ emissions reduction from the electricity sector, while the reduction of NO$_x$ was also targeted but it was programmed with different approach than SO$_2$ reduction. The US adopted a nationwide program to address the reduction of these pollutants and the program was called as ‘Acid Rain Program’. The program has used economic instruments like sulfur emission allowance and emission trading to control sulfur emission and has stipulated rate based emission standards for NO$_x$ emission.

In fact, the US effort on limiting SO$_2$ can be traced back to 1970 when for the first time the US, through amendments on the Clean Air Act as a federal legislation, established a national standard for maximum ambient concentration of SO$_2$. However, the primary concern then was not acid rain; rather it was the damage to human health (Ellerman et al., 2000).

The US approach has addressed the impacts of acid rain formation by addressing emissions on a regional basis, i.e., controlling emission reductions from bordering or “upwind” states. Each state was required to prepare state implementation plan (SIP) specifying actions to be taken for compliance. It also imposed a standard for new plants called as New Source Performance Standard (NSPS), according to which new plants could not exceed 1.2 lb of SO$_2$ emission per million Btu of fuel burned. However, many states would not be able to comply with the standard by the deadlines specified in the Act. In 1977, the US congress again amended the Act with extended deadline until 1982, and required the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to designate the areas failing to meet the initial deadline as ‘non-attainment’ areas and these areas were subject to tight regulatory controls. Two alternative rate based emission standards were imposed for coal-fired plants built after 1978. Such standards link the maximum allowable emission rate with the percentage of sulfur removal attained. The power plants were required to operate either at SO$_2$ emission rate below 1.2 lb per million
3.3 Approaches for controlling and preventing transboundary air pollution

There are different types of approaches and measures to control and prevent TAPs. The approaches may be based on command and control, market or economic instruments, or voluntary actions.

3.3.1 COMMAND AND CONTROL APPROACHES

It is a traditional approach of control, in which a standard is set on the polluting activity. The standard can be of different types: e.g., technology based standard, emission standard, fuel quality standard. A technology based standard stipulates the use of specific type of technology or equipment (e.g., catalytic converter, SO\textsubscript{x} scrubber mechanism etc.). An emission standard sets the level of permissible emission per unit of output or input: e.g. kg of SO\textsubscript{2}/kWh or NO\textsubscript{x}/kWh. A fuel quality standard sets an allowable limit for the pollutant content in the fuel. Different type of emission standards in practice are discussed next.

SO\textsubscript{2} emission standard in the US and Europe

The United States imposed an emission standard for new plants as 1.2 lb of SO\textsubscript{2} per million Btu of fuel burned in 1970s. Later, two alternative emission standards were imposed for new coal-fired plants built after 1978. The plants could:

a) either operate at SO\textsubscript{2} emission rate less than 1.2 lb per million Btu with 90% SO\textsubscript{2} removal of potential SO\textsubscript{2} emission;

b) or operate at SO\textsubscript{2} emission rate less than 0.6 per million Btu with 70% SO\textsubscript{2} removal of potential SO\textsubscript{2} emission.

Later these emission rates were extended to existing coal-fired power plants (through Title IV of Clean Air Act 1990). This kind of standard based on sulfur removal rate required all new as well as existing coal fired plants to use flue gas desulfurization system (scrubbers) whether they burn high or low sulfur coal. However, high cost of operation was reported for the power plants using coal with low sulfur content as compared to the plants using high sulfur coal (Ellereman et al., 2000).

In Europe, the Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control (IPPC) Directive of the EU required each member states to issue emission permits or technical measures based on the best available technology (BAT) (European Parliament and the Council of the European Union, 2008).

While the practice of technology based standards with fuel taxes is also available, according to Peszko and Lenain (2001), when all firms comply with the BAT requirement, the differences in marginal abatement costs among them may become negligible, which leaves few rooms to apply economic instruments on top of BAT standards to achieve additional emissions reduction.
3.3.2 Market Based Instruments

Market based instruments (MBIs) (also called economic instruments) can include direct instruments, e.g., emission charge (based on the level of emission), and emission permits (with or without emission trading) as well as indirect instruments such as environmental tax (e.g., sulfur tax based on sulfur content of the fuel), fuel tax (e.g., gasoline tax) and energy tax (i.e., tax per unit of heat content) and subsidy on cleaner fuel or efficient technologies. These market based instruments are discussed in the following sections.

3.3.2.1 Emission Charge

An emission charge is a fee or tax per unit of pollutant emission and is based on the polluters’ pay principle. The charge is levied on the level of pollutant emitted. It requires the regulator to monitor emissions. However, fixing a charge has been mostly a debated issue as this has direct implication for the emission abatement cost for a firm as the firm may reduce emission to the point where its marginal abatement cost is equal to the charge or tax rate (Stavins, 2003). Theoretically, an economically efficient emission tax rate should be equal to the marginal benefits of cleanup at the efficient level of cleanup (Stavins, 2003; Tietenberg, 2003). In some cases, these taxes are used in conjunction with fines or non-compliance fees in order to comply with the maximum permitted emission level (so called “emission ceiling”). These fees are intended to provide incentive to reduce pollution to the permitted levels and therefore play a compliance function (Speck et al., 2000).

3.3.2.2 Emission Charges in Practice

Emission taxes on volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emissions from aircraft engines

In 1997 in Switzerland, for the first time, an aircraft engine emission charge was introduced in Zurich Airport to control aviation related pollution (Knaus et al., 1997). It is reported that 20-30% of the NOx emission and up to 90% of the VOC emission during an entire flight would occur during the landing and take-off cycle (LTO). The aircraft engine emission charge is expressed as a percentage of the regular landing fee and is added to the landing fee as such. Based on a number of considerations such as clean air incentives, available technologies, existing and forecast aircraft fleet mix, five classes were defined each for turbofan and turboshaft engines, giving a range of engine emission factors for each class. Class 5 (which currently includes 48% of all scheduled and chartered planes) is free of charge. Airline operators with planes in Class 4 (17% of all planes) pay 5% of the regular landing fee; while those with planes in Class 3 (30%), Class 2 and Class 1 pay 10%, 20% and 40% of the landing fee respectively (Knaus et al., 1997). It should be noted that the charge doubles from one class to the next, which indicates the intended economic incentive for promoting and accelerating the introduction and use of best available engine technology in order to stabilize airport emissions without having to set limits on operations. Apart from the size of
aircraft engines, the aircraft emission charge is based on NO\textsubscript{x} and VOC emissions in the LTO (Landing and Take-Off) cycle (Knaus et al., 1997).

The charge was intended primarily to provide an incentive to encourage operators to use lowest emissions aircraft and to accelerate the use of best available technology (BAT). These charges are revenue neutral and do not affect consumer demand (IPCC, 1998). They do, however, provide an incentive to airlines to purchase and operate aircraft with lower engine emissions. Revenues are used to finance emission reduction measures at the airport (Carlsson, 1999). Similar emissions-related charge scheme was applied at 10 Swedish airports in 1998 and in France in 2003. UK has introduced emission charges at two of its airports in 2004 and Germany is going to introduce it in 2008 (Fleuti, 2007; ECAC, 2005).

**SO\textsubscript{2} and NO\textsubscript{x} charges in European countries**

In Poland, Czech Republic, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, and Slovakia, SO\textsubscript{2} and NO\textsubscript{x} charges were introduced in conjunction with a permit system (SIEI, 2000). A base charge rate is applied to all pollution within the permitted level and a penalty rate is added for pollution above that level (the so-called non-compliance fee). Large point source polluters (combustion plants, heavy industry) are subject to these charges. The charges are intended to raise revenues and encourage cost-effective abatement below the permitted level. The fines, non-compliance fees, are intended to provide incentive to reduce pollution to permitted levels and play a compliance function. Such revenues are largely earmarked for expenditure through national and regional/local environmental funds (SIEI, 2000; Speck et al., 2000).

Denmark, Sweden, Italy and France also have emission tax on SO\textsubscript{2} and NO\textsubscript{x} emission. However, the taxes vary from country to country. Emission taxes for SO\textsubscript{2} emission in Denmark, France, Italy and Sweden were Euro 2,700 per ton of Sulfur\textsuperscript{14}, 27.4 per ton of SO\textsubscript{2}, 53.2 per ton of SO\textsubscript{2} and 3,470 per ton of Sulfur respectively (Speck et al., 2000). Emission taxes for NO\textsubscript{x} in France, Italy and Sweden were Euro 22.9, 105, 4630 per ton of NO\textsubscript{x} respectively (Speck et al., 2000). Norway has also introduced taxes on NO\textsubscript{x} emission since January 2007.

**Emission taxes as a pollution damage levy in Japan**

In Japan, emission tax was charged to polluting firms in order to collect revenue to compensate the victims of designated diseases (NCEE, 2004). It was implemented under a framework of the Pollution-Related Health Damage Compensation and Prevention Law, which was established in 1973. Under the framework, areas having a certain level of pollution were designated as Class I and people living in the area for certain period of time and suffering with designated diseases were defined as air pollution victims. Eighty percent of the revenue was

\textsuperscript{14} 1 ton Sulfur is equivalent to the 64/32 ton of SO\textsubscript{2}.
obtained by collecting pollution levies from polluting firms based on their emissions of sulfur oxides (SO₂) and the remaining 20% was collected from vehicle tax charged to polluting motor vehicles (Azora Foundation, 2005). Significant reduction in emissions was reportedly achieved. However, it was not clear how much reduction was due to the tax (NCEE, 2004).

**Refund based tax system for NOₓ and sulfur tax in Sweden**

An innovative approach called “Refund Based Tax System” has been in practice in Sweden (SIEI, 2000; Roseveare, 2001; NCEE, 2004). The approach is not intended to raise revenue. It is designed to provide an incentive to the participants to reduce emissions. The system imposes tax on the emitting sources based on their emission and then redistributes the revenue so collected to the sources in proportion to their energy production.

A charge of 40 SEK/kg NOₓ ($ 4.4/kg) has been imposed in Sweden since 1992. The charge is applied to large heat and power plants, which produce more than 25 GWh/yr (Roseveare, 2001). Before 1996/97 it was applied only to the large plants producing more than 50 GWh/yr (IISD, 1994). While the tax is collected on the basis of emissions, it is rebated (redistributed) to them in proportion of energy production. This means, the total tax thus collected is divided by total energy production (certain amount is deducted for administration charge) and redistributed in proportion to their rate of energy production. That is, the plants, which produce more energy with less emission, would benefit more from this system. At the beginning of every year, large plants report their NOₓ emission and energy production for the previous year to Swedish Environmental Protection Agency (SEPA). On the basis of these reports, SEPA calculates the total taxes and refund rate per unit of energy generated (MWh). SEPA has mentioned that the target has been achieved with significantly reduced emission per unit of produced energy in Sweden (Roseveare, 2001).

Since 1991, Sweden also has imposed the sulfur tax of 30 SEK/kg S ($ 3.3/kg S), which is applicable to fuel oils and coal having more than 0.1% sulfur. It is reported that a remarkable reduction (i.e., below the allowable limit) in the sulfur content of oil products was achieved due to the sulfur tax (Hammer and Lofgren, 2001).

**3.3.2.3 FUEL AND ENVIRONMENTAL TAXES**

Fuel and environmental taxes are indirect instruments that are based on the users pay principle, where the user of a polluting fuel pay a fee, which is either per unit use of the fuel or per unit output of the polluter’s activity consuming the fuel (e.g., per unit electricity generation based on coal) (Blackman & Harrington, 2000). Historically, fuel taxes are the most used market mechanism internationally. These taxes are applied as an indirect tax charged on the polluting fuel so as to increase the prices of these fuel and the products or services using the polluting fuel. On the other hand, environmental taxes are either charged to the goods used as an input
in polluting activity or products associated with pollution or content of polluting substance contained in the inputs. Other forms of indirect taxes are annual vehicle tax/registration tax, sales tax, scrappage tax, parking fees, city tolls, road pricing, congestion pricing etc. These affect the environmental quality in two ways: first, they can affect polluting behavior and the choice of inputs by the firms. Second, they can influence the demand for polluting products (NCEE, 2004).

3.3.2.4 Fuel and Environmental Taxes in Practice

In countries like Finland, Mexico and Australia fuel taxes are levied in the form of excise duty. In Germany, eco-tax is levied along with excise duties. The eco-tax is similar to the environmental tax, which is intended to achieve an environmental effect. These taxes are intended to influence the consumption of scarce resources by changing the price that users have to pay. In Germany, eco-tax was introduced in 1999 as a part of its Environmental Tax Reform. It was designed to make energy and resource consumption more expensive, while lowering the cost of labor (Diefenbacher et al., 2006). The taxes raised the price of electricity by 2 Pfennigs/kWh, price of mineral oil by 6 Pfennig/liter, price of heating oil by 4 Pfennig/liter and gas price by 0.32 Pfennig/liter. Labor costs were cut by reducing pension contributions. By the end of 2002, tax collected had a significant contribution in lowering the pension contributions. In addition, some of the eco-tax revenue, up to €150 million a year, was used to promote renewable energy (Diefenbacher et al., 2006). The level of eco-tax on fuel varies with sulfur content (IEA, 2002). In other European countries like in Finland, Belgium, Denmark, France, Norway, Portugal, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom, differential fuel tax rates are applied according to the sulfur content of fuel with higher tax applied for fuels having higher sulfur content (SiEI, 2000). But it is unclear whether these taxes, as in the case of eco-tax in Germany, are utilized for an environmental objective other than revenue generation. In Finland there are special tax (environmental damage tax) systems as oil pollution fee on oil imports besides excise tax, (IEA, 2007) (Table 3.1).

3.3.2.5 Emission Reduction Credit and Emission Trading System

In Emission Reduction Credit (ERC) system, firms are issued a permit or allowance, which is based on the target, set either on the basis of the ambient air standard in the region or based on the necessity of reducing emissions from a reference level. These permits are also known as emission permits. If a source or firm reduces emission below the level required, the extra reduction is credited to the source. The credits so earned can be used by the same firm or another firm to comply with the emission allowance. As the cost of pollutant abatement may be

---

15 Excise duty is a form of tax applied on goods produced and sold within a particular region, country or state.
16 2 Pfennigs is roughly equivalent to one Euro cent.
Table 3.1: Fuel taxes in Finland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel Type</th>
<th>Tax Type</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Tax Rate</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Light Fuel Oil</td>
<td>Excise Tax</td>
<td>2003 onward</td>
<td>19.30 Euro/kl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oil Pollution fee</td>
<td>1990 onward</td>
<td>0.32 Euro/kl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(imports)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(sulfur content &gt; 0.005%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automotive Diesel Oil</td>
<td>Excise Tax</td>
<td>2003 onward</td>
<td>0.295 Euro/kl</td>
<td>sulfur content 0.001 - 0.005%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Excise Tax</td>
<td>2004 onward</td>
<td>0.295 Euro/kl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.268 Euro/kl</td>
<td>sulfur content 0.001 - 0.005%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oil pollution fee</td>
<td>1990 onward</td>
<td>0.00032 Euro/kl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(imports)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>Excise Tax</td>
<td>2003 onward</td>
<td>0.618 Euro/kl</td>
<td>Premium leaded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.539 Euro/kl</td>
<td>Premium unleaded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oil Pollution Fee</td>
<td>1990 onward</td>
<td>0.00029 Euro/kl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(imports)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IEA, 2007

different for different firms, some firms may opt for buying the credits from other firms if the former’s cost of abatement is higher than that of the latter. This mechanism is called as emission trading (ET). The emission trading mechanism can result in a lower total cost of emission abatement by all the firms taken together than that under the Command and Control (CAC) approach.

3.3.2.6 EMISSION REDUCTION CREDIT AND EMISSION TRADING IN PRACTICE

SO₂ allowances trading in the United States

In the case of sulfur emission allowances trading mechanism in the US under its Acid Rain Program, emission permits are issued to the polluting sources. The emission permits have been based on the reduction requirement with reference to a baseline emission projection. In the trading program, some proportion of the surplus allowance is also auctioned to the public (USEPA, 2007a). Agencies lobbying for environment protection and other public ventures concerned on environmental conservation have been buying the credits (surplus allowances) in the auction. These credits could be retired physically\(^\dagger\) so that the emission is permanently reduced. In this case, it is observed that the cost to the society of emission reduction has been lowered (Tietenberg, 2003; Stavins, 2003).

\(^\dagger\) Buyer of the credit terminates the allowances so that that allowance is neither traded nor transferred in the following year.
SO₂ emission trading in Slovakia

In 2002, Slovakia started issuing the SO₂ emission permits (quotas) based on the combination of historical emissions, future plans and programs of power plants on an annual basis (EEA, 2005). It is applied to power plants with capacity above 50 MW, which represented about 90% of emissions in 1998. The country’s Ministry of Environment decides an overall envelope of allowances on an annual basis. This overall allowance is then divided into district-wise allowances and a district may transfer the unused quota to other districts under certain circumstances. However, few such trades have been noted (EEA, 2005).

NOₓ emission trading in the Netherlands

Some countries in Europe (Netherlands and Slovakia) have adopted emission trading. In Netherlands, emission trading is being used as a flexible policy tool with which the government is regulating the electricity generation sector for NOₓ emission as a part of national policy to comply with the EU Directive on National Emission Ceilings (NEC Directive) (VROM, 2007). According to this directive, the Netherlands is obliged to reduce its overall NOₓ emissions from 490 kilotons in 1995 to 260 kilotons in 2010. An annually decreasing cap on emission (through permits) is issued as per the obligatory participant’s commitment to monitor the emission adhering to the monitoring protocol\(^\text{18}\). The participant then needs to monitor, report and verify the emissions every year. These emissions are registered against emission permits. If the emissions registered are lower than the allowance, credits are issued accordingly by the Dutch Emission Authority (DEA, 2007). All participants are allocated an annually declining performance standard rate (PSR)\(^\text{19}\) (which declines from NOₓ emission of 68 g/GJ in 2005 to 40 g/GJ in 2010) (IEA, 2004). The participants can borrow or bank a proportion of the credits (limited to 10% of the 2004 NOₓ allocation for each source, 7% of the corresponding figure in 2005 and 5% of the corresponding annual allocation for subsequent years). As the emission is based on the energy input, the major disadvantage with this scheme is that it does not encourage firms to reduce their emissions by increasing their energy efficiency, as lowering their input would also lower their baseline emissions.

3.3.2.7 INNOVATIVE MECHANISMS IN EMISSION TRADING

There are four separate innovative mechanisms, which are being practiced in emission trading in the US (originally they were developed for SO₂ emission trading in the US) (Stavins, 2003; Tietenberg, 2003). The mechanisms are as follows:

a) Offset Mechanism

It was used as a mechanism where new or expanded sources can be installed in non-attainment areas provided they acquire sufficient emission reduction credits from either existing sources. Thus, the emission

\(^{18}\) Issued by Netherlands Emission Authority

\(^{19}\) It is the standard rate at which NOₓ emission is allowed per unit of electricity generation
by new sources can be offset by using the emission reduction credits earned by the existing sources. It is also in practice in Netherlands for ammonia (Roseveare, 2001)

b) Bubble Mechanism
In this policy mechanism, the existing source in non-attainment areas can either adopt control technology or acquire the technology that emits at higher rates, provided, the sum of emission reduction credits plus actual reductions must equal the assigned reduction considering emission from the system as an emission from imaginary bubble. The mechanism is also in practice for NO$_x$ and SO$_2$ emission in Netherlands and SO$_2$ emission in United Kingdom (Roseveare, 2001)

c) Netting Mechanism
It is a policy that allows sources undergoing modification or expansion to escape the burden of new source review requirements so long as any net increase in plantwide emission is insignificant.

d) Banking Mechanism
It allows the firms to store emission reduction credits for use in the bubble, offset or netting mechanism. In the United States and Netherlands, certain proportion of total surplus allowances is allowed for banking (Tietenberg, 2003; Roseveare, 2001).

3.3.3 APPROACHES BASED ON VOLUNTARY ACTION
It is an approach in which individuals or individual firms engage in pollution-control activities in the absence of any formal, legal obligation to do so (Field et al, 2002). Generally, two types of voluntary actions are in practice. One is moral suasion and other is informal community pressure. In addition to the command and control approach, in Poland, there is a practice of publishing the names of top 80 worst national polluters. This has informally influenced firms to comply with the standard in the country (Peszko and Lenain, 2001). Another example of voluntary action is the willingness on the part of some electricity users to buy green electricity (electricity from renewable energy technologies) at a premium price. This is also known as the concept of Green Pricing, which exists in Europe and the US.

3.3.4 FUEL SWITCHING AND CLEANER FUEL USE
One of the widely used strategies for controlling air pollution is switching to the use of cleaner fuels. For example, use of low sulfur fuel has been widely adopted in several developed countries in Europe and North America. Switching to the use of cleaner fuel vehicles (like CNG and electric vehicles) are also examples of such strategies. These are discussed next.
3.3.4.1 Fuel Switching and Cleaner Fuel Use in Practice

Switching to Compressed Natural Gas Vehicles in Delhi

In India and Pakistan, public passenger transport system has made a switch from diesel/petrol to compressed natural gas (CNG). Delhi, the capital city of India, has converted its fleet of public passenger vehicles to CNG with success following the intervention of the Supreme Court of India (DPCC, 2001).

Electric Vehicles in Nepal

In Nepal, electric vehicles (EVs) in the form of Electric Trolley Buses were introduced in Kathmandu in 1975 with the support of the Chinese government. In early 1996, a group of private investors started a company with 7 EVs. Currently, there are over 600 electric three wheelers (called “Safa Tempos”) plying on the streets of the Kathmandu valley and five EV manufacturers in the country. EVs in Nepal have almost no emission as electricity in the country is produced almost solely from hydro resources (CAI-Nepal, 2007). In cases where electricity is produced using fossil fuels, electric vehicles will not be a solution to reduce a transboundary air pollution.

3.3.5 Congestion Charge and Transport Management

It is a charge applied to the vehicles using a designated region based on the degree of congestion. While entering these designated zones, the vehicles have to pay additional charge or tax and the level of the charge depends upon the time of day, vehicle type etc. Such a system has been put into practice successfully in Singapore, Stockholm, Dubai and London. Though the main purpose of the congestion charge is to reduce traffic congestion in and around the charging zone rather than to obtain environmental benefit, it is widely believed that they have helped improve air quality in these cities. Similarly limiting the vehicular operation by issuing license permits and banning the vehicles from operating in designated days of a week are some of the approaches used primarily for traffic management in practice, which also have potential to reduce air pollutant emissions. Some of the schemes in practice are as follows:

3.3.5.1 Congestion Charge and Transport Management in Practice

Congestion Charge in London

In London, congestion charge was introduced in 2003. It is applied to the vehicles passing through a designated region based on the degree of congestion. The major focus of the charge was to reduce traffic congestion in the designated charging zone. Vehicles entering, or parked on the streets, in central London on weekdays during the day (7.00 to 18.30 hrs) are subject to a daily charge of GBP 5, which can be paid electronically. The charging zone covers 22 km² in the heart of the capital. Certain vehicles, for example motorcycles, buses (having 9 or more seats), emergency vehicles like ambulances, fire engines, police vehicles and alternatively fuelled vehicles are exempted from the charge, while some
users, for example, residents in the zone and the disabled, benefit with discounts (TFL, 2007).

**Congestion Charge in Singapore**
In Singapore, the government introduced differentiated congestion taxes to the vehicles. Initially it introduced Area Licensing Scheme (ALS) which was a road tax charged to users on pay-as-you-use principle and it required each vehicle to have a license to enter certain restricted zones (RZ) during peak hours in the morning (7:30 AM – 9:30 AM). The system was later replaced by electronic road pricing (ERP), later converted into Area Road Electronic road pricing (ERP). The ERP charge varies each half-hour of a day and varies in the range of S$ 0.5 to S$ 9 depending upon the time of the day (e.g. peak vs. non-peak hours) and the type of vehicles (LTA, 2007a).

**License Permits in Singapore**
The License Permit policy is aimed at reducing the congestion related pollution from vehicles in designated time. A user is required to acquire a permit in order to run his/her vehicle. Vehicle Permits are used for regulating the vehicular operation.

In Singapore, a vehicle quota scheme (VQS) was introduced in 1990. Under the scheme, the government issues certain number of quota for new users as well as quota for renewing for the existing users for limiting the vehicles on road. The quota was called as Certificate of Entitlement (COE), which was required to operate the vehicles on road. The government issues the quota through a bidding process. All COEs are offered at the lowest offered price subject to it would exhaust the total available quota of COEs when arranged from highest to lowest priced bidders. The bidding process was conducted twice a month (LTA, 2007a).

**License Permits in Chile**
In Santiago, Chile, the government imposed an auctioning system for access right licenses for buses and taxis to enter certain congested areas. Under this system, in order to participate in the auction, the vehicles need to comply with a uniform emissions standard. The system is claimed to have significant improvement in vehicular emissions due to traffic congestion (Stavins, 2003).

**Banning of vehicles from running in designated days in Mexico**
In Mexico city, the city administration imposed a regulation in 1990 that banned running of cars on specific days, which was determined by the last digit of the license plate of cars. The regulation was called Hoy No Circula (known in English as “One Day without a Car”), It consisted of prohibiting the circulation of 20% of vehicles from Monday to Friday depending on the last digit of their license plates (Answer, 2008). Vehicles with certain ending numbers29 on their license plates are not allowed to circulate on certain days in an attempt to cut down on pollution and traffic congestion. Initially the program had a good result in curbing air pollution

---

29 Corresponding digits for Monday is 5 or 6, 7 or 8 for Tuesday, 3 or 4 for Wednesday, 1 or 2 for Thursday and 9 or 0 for Friday (http://www.answers.com/topic/hoy-no-circula).
in the city. However, later, it was reported to be controversial since it had resulted in many better-off households buying extra cars reducing the program’s benefits; also, newer vehicles are exempt from complying with the program. This policy is considered as a failed attempt to anticipate behavior reactions in longer run (Tietenberg, 2003).

**Banning of vehicles from running in designated days in China**  
The Mexican practice has been followed in China recently (Philly News, 2007). Cars with even-numbered license plates are ordered off roads on Fridays and Sundays, and vehicles with odd-numbered plates are banned on Saturdays and Mondays. Emergency vehicles, taxis, buses and other public-service vehicles are exempted from this requirement. The government officials claim that air quality after imposing this requirement is in good condition (air index below 100 according to State Environmental Protection Agency of China). But other additional measures to supplement the program may be required based on the lesson learnt from the experience of Mexico City. In Mexico City during the initial phase, such program was a success, but after few years, it proved to be a mistake in that it led to an over investment in vehicles (Tietenberg, 2003).

**3.3.6 CO-BENEFITS OF GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION REDUCTION POLICIES AND TECHNOLOGIES**  
Several measures to abate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions could reduce air pollutants and vice versa. Many of the driving forces underlying air pollution and climate change are identical: economic growth, consumption and production processes, and demography. Air pollutants and greenhouse gases are often simultaneously emitted from the same sources. Any measure that modifies the activity level of a source also influences emissions of both local/regional air pollutants and greenhouse gases simultaneously. And the benefits in terms of reduction of local/regional pollutants resulting from a GHG emission reduction measure are attributed as co-benefits of the GHG mitigation measure. In this sense, promotion of renewable energy by using a Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) and implementing GHG reduction technologies are some of the practices, which not only help reduce GHH emissions but also reduce local/regional pollutant emissions. The policy of RPS and some GHG reduction technologies are discussed next.

**3.3.6.1 RENEWABLE PORTFOLIO STANDARD POLICY**  
Electricity from renewable energy sources is being promoted in several European countries through a wide array of instruments (feed-in tariffs, tradable green certificates (TGCs), bidding/tendering schemes, investment subsidies, fiscal/financial and green pricing schemes) (Rio, 2004). Similarly, in many countries, firms are required to have certain proportion of electricity generation mix from renewable energy resources popularly known as maintaining Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS). Electricity generation from wind resources has been increasing. While
the policy is aimed at reducing CO₂ emission from electricity generation sector, it has co-benefits in terms of reduced fossil fuel consumption. In cases, where coal use is reduced as a result of such policy, there can also be a reduction in sulfur emissions.

3.3.6.2 GHG EMISSION REDUCTION TECHNOLOGIES

GHG emissions reduction technologies could reduce air pollutants in addition to emission reduction of GHG pollutants. Energy conservation, fuel substitution, change in production level etc. with the implementation of technical emission control measures have effects on several pollutant emissions. Thus, measures aimed at the reduction of one pollutant may lead to reductions of other pollutants, e.g. efficiency improvement of coal fired power plants would not only reduce coal use and GHG emission but they also simultaneously reduce sulfur emissions too. It is, however, also possible for some pollutants to increase with the implementation of controlling measures, e.g., use of SO₂ scrubber reduce SO₂ emission but it may increase fuel consumption and GHG emission.

There are a number of emission control technologies that reduce both air pollutants and greenhouse gases. For instance, some of the measures in the agricultural sector that reduce NH₃ emissions (e.g. dietary changes, improved storage of manure) also lead to lower Nitrogen Oxides emissions. Under certain conditions, new engine technologies that improve fuel efficiency and reduce CO₂ emissions, could reduce NOₓ emissions at the same time. Selective catalytic reduction (SCR) on gas boilers reduces not only NOₓ, but also N₂O, CO and CH₄. The three-way catalysts on cars reduce emissions of NOₓ, CO and CH₄. Regular inspection and maintenance programs on oil and gas production and distribution facilities would reduce losses of CH₄ and other VOCs (EEA, 2004).

Some technologies in controlling air pollutants might increase the emission of other pollutants. Desulfurization techniques involving CaCO₃ increase CO₂ emissions, and catalysts that are used to reduce NOₓ, VOC and CO emissions from vehicles tend to cause higher N₂O and NH₃ emissions. In general, emission control measures, which increase energy consumption (e.g. scrubber mechanism reducing SO₂ or NOₓ from flue gases) would also increase some greenhouse gases emissions.
Chapter IV | Good practices on prevention and control of transboundary air pollution

4.1 Introduction

According to the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), good practices are defined as "Planning and/or operational practices that have proven successful in particular circumstances. These are used to demonstrate what works and what does not and to accumulate and apply knowledge about how and why they work in different situations and contexts" (ADB, 2006b). Thus the good practices are those practices that have succeeded in achieving the target and these may be transferable in part, or as a whole, to other regions/countries (ADB, 2006c). Transfer of good practices to other countries/regions requires consideration adaptation or modification as the success of a particular practice depends on the country/region specific factors and conditions which
varies widely among different countries/regions. Some good practices may not be transferable at all and often it is difficult to know impacts of some good practices over time (ADB, 2006c). However, important lessons can be learnt from the study of the good practices as to their applicability and potential for their up-scaling in a different country/regional context.

In Chapter III, several approaches for preventing and controlling transboundary air pollution have been discussed giving brief references to the actual examples of their applications. In this chapter, more detailed descriptions of the successful practices under different approaches are presented. The good practices presented in this chapter are: the NO\textsubscript{x} charge applied in Sweden, the two control zone plan and program implemented in China, the acid rain program in the US, road transportation and travel demand management in Singapore, the switch of the public passenger transport system to the use of compressed natural gas (CNG) in New Delhi and environmental measures, NO\textsubscript{x} tax system in Norway, solar water heater systems in Asian countries, vertical shaft brick kiln in Asian countries, alternative fuel vehicle promotion in Kathmandu Valley and the Ozone Transport Commission (OTC) NO\textsubscript{x} Budget Program in Eastern States of the US.

### 4.2 NO\textsubscript{x} charges as feebate in Sweden

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country/Region</th>
<th>Sweden/Europe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area coverage</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sectoral Category</td>
<td>Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of approach</td>
<td>Policy/Economic Instrument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollutant Type</td>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of Introduction</td>
<td>Since 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Electricity generating utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implemented by</td>
<td>Swedish Environmental Protection Agency (SEPA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description**

In 1990, the Swedish parliament endorsed NO\textsubscript{x} emission charge applicable to large combustion plants. It was implemented since 1992. The NO\textsubscript{x} charge is a feebate economic instrument that applies to every real emission of NO\textsubscript{x} from these plants based on measurements. However, the charge is redistributed among the plants in the proportion of energy production by these plants. So, the NO\textsubscript{x} charge is not taken as tax (IISD, 1994). In this system, a plant that produces more energy per unit of emission benefits more. The Swedish NO\textsubscript{x} charge is an example of how an economic instrument can be used to reduce pollution without distorting an industry's competitiveness while meeting
the objective of reducing the emission at the least cost.

The charge is SEK 40 (US $4.80 at the August 1993 exchange rate) per kilogram of NO\textsubscript{x} emitted, and the revenue from the charges paid by liable operations is redistributed among the plants in proportion to their energy production. While the tax is collected on the basis of emissions, it is rebated (redistributed) to the firms (i.e., liable operations) in the same proportion as their energy production. This means that the total tax thus collected is divided by total energy production and redistributed in proportion to their energy production. So, the redistribution of tax collected among the participants based on their rate of energy production implies that the plants that produce more energy with less emission will benefit more from this system.

Since 1992, large combustion plants are subject to the charge scheme. ‘Large’ plants are defined as the installations having a capacity of 10 MW or more and an annual energy production exceeding 50 GWh. In 1996 and 1997, the coverage was expanded to include all installations producing more than 25 GWh of useful energy per year. In 2001, around 400 units were covered by the charge (Roseveare, 2001). It was administered by the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency (SEPA). The administration cost of the system (not more than 0.5% of the revenue generated from the tax) was deducted from the pay-out (IISD, 1994).

Smaller combustion plants are not liable because of the higher relative cost of continuously measuring the emissions (IISD, 1994). Most of the liable combustion plants are involved in energy production, that is, plants producing heat and power. Besides, industrial firms like the pulp and paper, chemical and metal industries that have combustion plants for energy production and waste incineration plants producing energy are similarly liable to the charge.

The unique feature of the system is the refund system, which was necessary in order to achieve a fair system. The competition between small (non-liable) and large (liable) combustion plants would have been distorted if the charge was not refunded to the liable plants (IISD, 1994). The fact that the charge is refunded and thereby only has an environmental purpose has facilitated its acceptance. A positive side effect is that the less polluting plants are favored economically and thus given a competitive advantage. The refund system has contributed to a considerable success of the charge scheme. Though the combustion plants are given an economic incentive to reduce their emissions, they are not forced to do so by regulation. It is up to the individual plants to decide ways to reduce the NO\textsubscript{x} emission. Companies can choose whether to reduce their NO\textsubscript{x} emissions or pay the charge. With the flexibility on choosing the technology type, the number of NO\textsubscript{x} emission reduction technologies after implementation of such system is observed to have significantly increased.
Major Activities
The utilities (or liable operations) are not forced to adopt any specific technology for NO\textsubscript{x} reduction. They are left on their own to choose the most cost effective NO\textsubscript{x} reduction technology.

Impact on Air Pollution
Significant improvement in the reduction NO\textsubscript{x} emission was noted after the implementation of the NO\textsubscript{x} charge scheme (IISD, 1994):
- Total NO\textsubscript{x} emission in 1992 was 35% lower than that in 1990. By 1993, NO\textsubscript{x} emission was 44% below the 1990 level.
- The number of combustion plants with NO\textsubscript{x} reduction technologies increased by a factor of about 16 between 1982 and 1994. A sharp increase is noted after the introduction of the charge scheme, and further installations are planned (IISD, 1994).
- SEPA has noted that NO\textsubscript{x} emissions decreased much more rapidly than expected. The target for 1995 of a 35% reduction from 1990 levels was already achieved in 1993.
- The average cost to reduce NO\textsubscript{x} is SEK 10/kg ($1.2/kg). The charge of 40 SEK/kg-NO\textsubscript{x} has provided a substantial economic inducement to reduce emissions.

4.3 Two Control Zone (TCZ) Plan and Program to control Sulfur pollution

Country/Region : China/South East Asia
Area coverage : 64 major cities and 12 provinces
Sectoral Category : Energy
Type of approach : Policy/Planning/Technology/Fuel Substitution
Pollutant Type : SO\textsubscript{2} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} (Sulfate) (Acid rain)
Year of Introduction : 2000-2005
Participants : SEPA-China, CRAES, EPB
               (Hebei and Hunan Province and Shijiazhuang and Greater Changsa region), CGRER, University of Iowa, boiler manufacturing industries.
Implemented by : State Environmental Protection Agency - China

Description
In 1998 China adopted a national legislation “Tenth Five-Year Plan for Prevention and Control of Acid Rain and Sulfur Dioxide Pollution in the Two Control Zones” to limit ambient sulfur dioxide (SO\textsubscript{2}) concentration and to curtail the increasing occurrences of acid rain in the country. The major backbone of this policy and plan was to reduce the sulfur concentration in the country by understanding its local as well as transboundary effect (World Bank, 2003).

China is the world’s the largest coal producer (43%) and nearly half (45%) of China’s coal in 2004 was used in the industrial sector (EIA,
2007b). The use of coal has been the major source of air pollution in the country and is the major cause of acidic precipitation as well as Particulate Matters (PM) related pollution (World Bank, 2003). The combustion sources include small domestic stoves as well as large industrial plants and power plants. The major sources of SO₂ emissions are facilities burning fossil fuels, including coal- fired power plants and boilers, ore smelters, and oil refineries. Smaller stationary combustion sources, such as space heating, also contribute to the problem, especially in urban areas during the winter. Most of the major cities in China are heavily polluted by SO₂ and PM emissions (Yi et al., 2007). In 2006, acid rain affected about 32.6% (occurrence of acid rain over 5%) and 15.4% (occurrence of acid rain over 25%) of the total land in China (SEPA, 2006).

The “Two Control Zone (TCZ)” Plan, as the name suggests, classified two control zones, of which the Sulfur Pollution Control Zone (SPCZ) covered 64 major cities, where the ambient sulfur concentration was high and the other was Acid Rain Control Zone (ARCZ), which encompassed 12 provinces of southern and eastern China, which were affected by acid rain. These two zones covered 1.09 million km² of area and were responsible for two-third of sulfur emission of the country (World Bank, 2003). Closing of mines producing high-sulfur coal, limiting the sulfur content of coal in use and emission control in power plants and large industries were the measures taken to control the sulfur pollution. Most major cities in China were required to comply with the SO₂ pollution requirement and SO₂ emission charges were applied. Sulfur emissions and acid rain reduction plans for large areas of the south and the east area of the country were the major thrust areas of the TCZ plan. The legislation covers wide-ranging sulfur control measures usually observed in Europe and North America. Probably, such a policy has been put into practice for the first time in developing countries (World Bank, 2003).

Major Activities

- Gradual phasing out of mining of coal containing 3% or more sulfur
- Prohibition of construction of coal fired power stations (except coal fired cogeneration plants with primary purpose of supplying heat for household use) inside large and medium-sized cities and surrounding suburbs.
- Mandatory use of coal with sulfur content not exceeding 1% in new and renovated old coal fired power stations
- Requirement to adapt SO₂ reduction measures including installation of flue gas desulfurization by the existing coal fired power plants using coal more than 1% sulfur content.
- Implementation of sulfur emission charges by major sulfur emitters.

Impact on Air Pollution


---

21 Frequency of incidence of acid rain.
In the Sulfur Dioxide Pollution Control Zone, the major achievement was 12.3% point increase in cities meeting Grade II and 16.5% point decrease in the cities not meeting Grade III standard in terms of SO$_2$ annual average concentration. The cities meeting Grade III increased by 4.2% point (Table 4.1).

In the Acid Rain Control Zone, the major achievement was the 11.2% point decrease in the cities not meeting Grade III in terms of SO$_2$ annual average concentration and 3.3% point increase in the cities witnessing annual SO$_2$ average concentration meeting Grade II$^{22}$ quality standard. The cities reaching Grade III was increased by 7.9% point.

Table 4.1: Percentage of cities in Two Control Zones meeting SO$_2$ Grades

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SO$_2$ Grading</th>
<th>SO$_2$ Control Zones (64 major cities)</th>
<th>Acid Rain Control Zones (12 provinces)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cities reaching Grade II, %($\text{SO}_2 \leq 0.06$ mg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>47.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cities reaching Grade III, % ($0.06 \text{ mg/m}^3 \leq \text{SO}_2 \leq 0.1 \text{ mg/m}^3$)</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cities worse than Grade III, % ($\text{SO}_2 &gt; 0.1 \text{ mg/m}^3$)</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


$^{22}$ The grades are defined as follows. Grade I: This grade is set as the standard in natural conservation, resort, or tourist areas, and in those with historic monuments ($\text{SO}_2 < 0.02 \text{ mg/m}^3$); Grade II: This grade is set as the standard in areas that are urban residential, commercial/residential, cultural, or rural ($0.02 \text{ mg/m}^3 < \text{SO}_2 < 0.06 \text{ mg/m}^3$); and Grade III. This grade is set as a standard in interim administrative measure for environmental management in industrial districts and traffic centers ($0.06 \text{ mg/m}^3 < \text{SO}_2 < 0.1 \text{ mg/m}^3$) (SEPA, 2004 and 2005).
4.4 The Acid Rain Program in US

Country/Region : United States of America/North America
Area of coverage : United States of America
Sectoral Category : Energy
Type of Approach : Policy
Pollutant Type : $\text{SO}_2$ and $\text{NO}_x$
Year of Introduction : Since 1990
Participants : Utilities, coal and gas companies, emissions control equipment vendors, labor, academia, public utility commissions, state pollution control agencies, and environmental groups.
Implemented by : United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA)

Description
The main purpose of Acid Rain Program in the US was to reduce the adverse effects of acid deposition by reducing its key precursor pollutants $\text{SO}_2$ and $\text{NO}_x$. The program is a market based approach to control the $\text{SO}_2$ and $\text{NO}_x$ emissions and was created by the US Government in 1990 through the Title IV of Clean Air Act Amendment 1990. However, controlling $\text{SO}_2$ emissions through the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) could be traced back in 1970s; for the first time, the CAAA in 1970 had established national maximum standards for ambient concentrations of $\text{SO}_2$, CO, $\text{NO}_x$ particulates, ozone and lead. While the CCAA of 1970 are largely based on the command and control approach, CAAA in 1990 has introduced market based, cap and trade approach and has also targeted $\text{NO}_x$ reduction.

$\text{SO}_2$ Reduction
Title IV of the Clean Air Act has set a goal of reducing annual $\text{SO}_2$ emissions by 10 million tons below 1980 levels by 2010. To achieve these reductions, the law required a two-phase tightening of the restrictions placed on fossil fuel-fired power plants.

Phase I began in 1995 and affected 263 units at 110 mostly coal-burning electric utility plants. Additional 182 units joined Phase I of the program as substitution or compensating units\textsuperscript{23} in 1997, bringing the total of Phase I affected units to 445. Phase II, which began in the year 2000, tightened the annual emissions limits imposed on these large, high emitting plants and also set restrictions on smaller, cleaner coal, oil, and gas fired plants fired by, encompassing over 2,000 units in all. The program affects existing utility units with an output capacity of greater than 25 megawatts and all new utility units.

\textsuperscript{23} During Phase I of the Acid Rain Program, a unit not originally affected until Phase II may elect to enter the program early as a substitution unit or a compensating unit to help fulfill the compliance obligations for one of the 263 units initially targeted by Phase I. A unit brought into Phase I as a substitution unit can assist one of these 263 units in meeting its emissions reductions obligations. Utilities may make cost-effective emissions reductions at the substitution unit instead of at the initially targeted unit, achieving the same overall emissions reductions that would have occurred without the participation of the substitution unit (USEPA, 1998).
The program is an innovative cap and trade approach, in which a permanent cap is allocated on the total amount of \( \text{SO}_2 \) emission that may be generated by a utility, based on its historic fuel consumption and its specific emission rates, prior to the start of the program (USEPA, 2007a). Currently, one allowance provides a regulated unit a limited authorization to emit one ton of \( \text{SO}_2 \). The total allowances allocated for each year equal the \( \text{SO}_2 \) emission cap.

**\( \text{SO}_2 \) Allowance Trading Mechanism**

Reductions in \( \text{SO}_2 \) emissions are facilitated through a market-based system for capping and trading—the centerpiece of US EPA’s Acid Rain Program (USEPA, 2007a). Through the market-based allowance trading system, utilities regulated under the Acid Rain Program decide the most cost-effective way to use available resources to comply with the requirements of the Clean Air Act. Utilities can reduce emissions by employing energy conservation measures, increasing reliance on renewable energy, reducing usage, employing pollution control technologies, switching to lower sulfur fuel, or developing other alternate strategies.

Units that reduce their emissions below the amount allowed (according to the allowances they hold) may trade the surplus allowances with other units in their system, sell them to other utilities in the open market or through EPA auctions, or bank them to cover emissions in future years (USEPA, 2007a).

The USEPA holds an annual auction of \( \text{SO}_2 \) allowances (Chicago Board of Trade used to administer it till 2005) in which anyone including the utilities can participate. The USEPA set aside 2.8% of annual sulfur emission from each utility for Auction Allowance Reserve (USEPA, 2007b). The allowances are awarded to the highest bidder. Typically environmental groups\(^{24}\) bids acquire the allowances for different purpose including ‘retiring’ them so that they cannot be used to legitimize emissions, thus lowering the emission limit permanently (Tietenberg, 2003).

**Conservation and Renewable Energy Incentives**

The Acid Rain Program has a provision to promote renewable energy and energy conservation initiatives. A reserve of 300,000 \( \text{SO}_2 \) allowances is provided as the Conservation and Renewable Energy Reserve (CRER). Utilities could apply for these allowances if they have employed efficiency or renewable energy measures to produce early emission reductions before their generating became subject to the Acid Rain Program (USEPA, 2007a).

**\( \text{NO}_x \) Reduction**

The Clean Air Act Amendment 1990 had also set a target to reduce 2 million tons of \( \text{NO}_x \) emission below the 1980 level by year 2000. The program focuses on one set of sources that emit \( \text{NO}_x \) coal-fired electric utility boilers. As with the \( \text{SO}_2 \) emission

\(^{24}\) In a bid in 2007, Washington College Student Environmental Alliance bid 1 allowance at $1,120, AEM 250 Cornell University bid 1 allowance at $490, and similarly Clean Air Conservancy Charitable Trust bid 3 allowances for $1,800. (http://www.epa.gov/ammarkets/trading/2007/07summary.html downloaded on 5 July 2007)
reduction requirements, the NO\textsubscript{x} program was implemented in two phases, beginning in 1996 and 2000. The NO\textsubscript{x} program is similar in principle to SO\textsubscript{2} emission reduction program, but it does not “cap” NO\textsubscript{x} emissions as the SO\textsubscript{2} program does, nor does it utilize an allowance trading system.

Emission limitations for the NO\textsubscript{x} boilers provide flexibility to for the electric utilities by focusing on the emission rate to be achieved (expressed in pounds of NO\textsubscript{x} per million Btu of heat input, See Table 3.5). In general, two options for compliance of the emission limitations are provided:

- Compliance with an individual emission rate for a boiler.
- Averaging of emission rates over two or more units to meet an overall emission rate limitation.

If a utility properly installs and maintains the appropriate control equipment designed to meet the emission limitation established in the regulations, but is still unable to meet the limitation, the NO\textsubscript{x} program allows the utility to apply for an alternative emission limitation (AEL) that corresponds to the level that the utility demonstrates is achievable.

Phase I of the NO\textsubscript{x} program began on January 1, 1996 and was applied to two types of boilers (which were already targeted for Phase I SO\textsubscript{2} reductions): a) dry-bottom wall-fired boilers and b) tangentially fired boilers (Table 4.2). Dry-bottom wall-fired boilers had to achieve a limitation of 0.50 pounds of NO\textsubscript{x} per million Btu averaged over the year, and tangentially fired boilers had to achieve a limitation of 0.45 pounds of NO\textsubscript{x} per million Btu, again, averaged over the year. Approximately, 170 boilers needed to comply with these NO\textsubscript{x} performance standards during Phase I.

Phase II of the NO\textsubscript{x} program began in 2000. It • set lower emission limits for Group 1 boilers first subject to an acid rain emissions limitation in Phase II, and • established initial NO\textsubscript{x} emission limitations for Group 2 boilers, which include boilers applying cell-burner technology, cyclone boilers, wet bottom boilers, and other types of coal-fired boilers (Table 4.2).
Table 4.2: NO$_x$ Emission Limit by Boiler Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coal-Fired Boiler Type</th>
<th>Title IV Standard Emission Limits (lb/mmBtu)</th>
<th>Number of Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase I Group 1 Tangentially Fired</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase I Group 1 Dry Bottom, Wall-fired</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase II Group 1 Tangentially Fired</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase II Group 1 Dry Bottom, Wall-fired</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell Burners</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclones &gt;155 MW</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet Bottom &gt;65 MW</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertically Fired</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>n/a</strong></td>
<td><strong>982</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The Acid Rain Program is flexible in allowing a utility to select its own methods of emission control for SO$_2$ and NO$_x$ emission reduction compliance. The program encourages early reductions of the emissions so that the utilities can bank unused allowances in one year and can carry them forward to the next year. These allowances are transferable among the affected utilities such that utilities can trade the surplus allowances to other utilities. This is a Win Win situation as it not only reduces the cost to utilities but also reduces the cost of reducing pollution to the society (Tietenberg, 2003).

Special features of this program are:

a) It has a fixed upper limit on total annual sulfur emissions from the utilities;

b) It allows anyone to lower the limit by acquiring the allowances; and

c) It facilitates real time emission monitoring and real time online allowance trading mechanism.

d) It has a mechanism of penalty for non-compliance and it is adjusted with inflation rate.

The program has an online real time emission monitoring mechanism, in which each utility must continuously measure and record its emissions of SO$_{2}$, NO$_x$, and CO$_2$, as well as volumetric flow and opacity. A continuous emission monitoring (CEM) system is used for this purpose (USEPA, 2007c). This has been taken as an important feedback to boost the confidence among the stakeholders by the realization of emission reductions in real time.
The program has a real time online allowances trading mechanism, which facilitates utilities to trade the sulfur allowances in real time so that the utilities’ sulfur emissions do not exceed their allowances at the end of the year and the allowance transfer can be recorded to meet the compliance.

The program has set a penalty of $2,000/ton sulfur in 1990, which is adjusted annually as per the inflation rate. The 2005 penalty level was set at $3,042 per excess ton of SO$_2$. 

**Major Activities**

With the implementation of the Acid Rain Program, utilities have adapted one or more options that include blending low-sulfur coal, installing SO$_2$ and NO$_x$ controls such as scrubbers and low-NO$_x$ burners, or purchasing allowances from the market or using banked allowances in order to meet the emission reduction requirements. Furthermore, there was also an increased use of efficient advanced combined cycle generation units using natural gas as the fuel source.

**Impact on Air Pollution Scene**

The program had made the following achievements by the end of Year 2005 (USEPA, 2007a):

SO$_2$ emission was reduced by more than 5.5 million tons from the 1990 level and by more than 7 million tons from the 1980 level; these figures were about 35% and 41% of the total power sector emissions in 1990 and 1980 respectively (USEPA, 2005).

Similarly, the program was able to reduce NO$_x$ emissions by 3 million tons from the 1990 level. The NO$_x$ emissions in 2005 were less than half the level anticipated in the absence of the Acid Rain program (USEPA, 2005).

The program led to the initiation of the following other emission reduction policy programs in 2005:

- Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) to address transport of fine particles and ozone in eastern United States,
- the Clean Air Visibility Rule (CAVR) to improve visibility in national parks and wilderness areas, and
- the Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) to reduce mercury emission from power plants.
4.5 Urban Transportation Planning and Travel Demand Management in Singapore

Country/Region : Singapore/South East Asia  
Area of coverage : Singapore City  
Sectoral category : Energy/Transportation  
Type of approach : Policy  
Pollutant Type : SO$_2$, NO$_x$ and particulate matter  
Year of introduction : Since 1960s  
Participants : Government agencies, vehicle operators and public  
Implemented by : Government of Singapore, Land Transport Authority

Description
A major source of air pollution in most of the countries is the vehicular emissions. As such, reduction of vehicular emission has been a major issue. Exhaust emissions from vehicles are controlled in many countries utilizing technological options. However, the control of air pollution using the traditional command and control policies that curtail travel demand has been a difficult task, though it has been tried in several countries (Dhakal, 2003). The transport policies of Singapore provide good examples of the government authority being able to limit the air pollution by limiting private vehicle growth with a series of demand management measures (Chin, 1996), while sustaining rapid economic growth for over four decades (Willoughby, 2001). Though the initial purpose of the transport demand management measures was the reduction of the growing traffic congestion thereby reducing the travel time and not reduction of environmental pollution but the secondary effect of the policies has been higher vehicular average speed, controlled private vehicular ownership, promotion of public passenger vehicles resulting ultimately in reduced fuel consumption and lower vehicular emissions. For example, the 24-hr average air quality of Singapore is found to be within the acceptable limit of the USEPA Annual Mean Ambient Air Quality Standard for the pollutants SO$_2$, NO$_x$, Carbon Monoxide, Ozone and PM$_{10}$ measured (National Environmental Agency, 2007).

Since its independence in 1967, the approaches taken by Singapore for transportation management were a mix of command-and-control policies initially and market-based-instruments later on. The policies were effective in managing the traffic congestion and also the related environmental problems. A series of the policies was introduced in order to curb the travel demand in the past. Also there were quick enforcements of the revised policies after sensing the undesired impact of policy, which has resulted better later. It is argued
that the policy was largely focused on limiting the vehicle ownership rather than maximum utilization of the transport infrastructure and pointed out the disadvantage of the policy instrument as it could lead to under-utilization of the road infrastructure because of the high tax rate (Willoughby, 2001). However, in 1998 and in subsequent years, the reform of the tax structure along with introduction of more flexible schemes (like off peak car scheme, park and ride schemes, increasing the number of bidding process to twice a month and short duration of bidding process) marked the shift in policy from that targeted to limiting the vehicle ownership limiting to the one focused on higher usage of road infrastructure.

**Major Activities**

The evolution of road transport management policy instruments and activities implemented in Singapore since its independence are listed chronologically as follows:

1967 : Singapore city separated from Malaysia and became independent city-state

1968 : Ministry of Communications established; Imposed 30% import duty on Cars

1970 : Bus service reform begins with an effort to consolidate ten small bus companies into three bus companies to serve different geographical sectors.

1972 : Import duty and Additional Registration Fees (ARF) was increased

1973 : The 3 bus services were merged to Singapore bus service (SBS)

1974 : Bus lanes were introduced (left-most lanes on major roads were designated for exclusive use by buses during peak hours); ARF was raised to 55%;

1975 : Area Licensing Scheme (ALS) initiated in peak hours during week days only; Manual implementation of ALS was conducted, ARF raised to 100% of the cost of vehicle, Preferential ARF (called as PARF) was started

1975 : ARF raised to 125%; Park and Ride Scheme was introduced

1977 : Double Decker buses were operated

1980 : ARF raised to 150%

1983 : Another bus service operator (now SMRT) came into operation

1987 : MRT was started; Public Transport Council was setup as regulatory body for bus route, tariff approvals and other bus services.

1989 : ALS extended to other areas; Transit Link Setup integrating, all bus and train services forming single comprehensive road network

1990 : Implementation of Vehicle Quota System; Compulsion to have Certificate of Entitlements (COEs) to run vehicles on the road; Closed bidding for limited Certificate of Entitlements (COEs)
which was valid for 10 years
Weekend Car Scheme (WEC) was introduced Single card system implemented for public transport network
1992: COEs was made valid for 5 years instead of 10 years in the past Graduated PARF was introduced ranging from 80% to 130% of Open Market Value of vehicles (OMV)
1994: ALS was implemented for whole day and also for part day Off peak Car scheme was introduced and replaced WEC Scheme Vehicle Parking Certificate (VPC) Scheme for heavy vehicles was implemented
1995: Institutional Reformation with the merger of 4 utilities to a single utility named as Land Transport Authority (LTA) Road Pricing System (RPS) on expressway Euro I emission standard was applied to vehicles
1996: LTA brought White Paper with the purpose to build World Class Transport System
1998: Electronic road pricing (ERP) was started; Vehicle tax structure reformed Complete phase out of leaded petrol
1999: ERP was extended to highways Sulfur content of diesel was reduced to 0.05% by weight
2000: Classic Car Scheme was introduced Chassis Dynamometer Smoke Test (CDST) was enforced for defaulters
2001: Euro II – Emission Standard applied, all vehicles was equipped with catalytic converters Green Vehicle Rebate Scheme was introduced; Low emission vehicles: CNG, Electric and Hybrid Vehicles were given rebate on registration fees and special tax incentives
2004: Two tier ARFs (110% for new vehicles and 130% for old vehicles)
2005: Sulfur content of diesel was reduced to 0.005% 2006: Euro IV – Emission Standard was applied to diesel vehicles

Major policies for road transport management implemented in Singapore are:
a) Additional registration fee (ARF)
b) Area license scheme (ALS)
c) Vehicle quota scheme (VQS)
d) Electronic road pricing (ERP)
e) Flexible schemes (Off-Peak Car Scheme)

A) ADDITIONAL REGISTRATION FEE (ARF)

ARF is a tax on new vehicle registrations charged in addition to the registration fees. This was originally introduced by the colonial government in the late 1950s as a revenue-raising measure. In 1975, its value was increased to 100% of the Open Market
Value of a vehicle (OMV). With the fear that higher ARF might discourage the renewal of the existing vehicles, a preferential additional registration fee (PARF) was introduced as an alternative, to counter the effect. It offered reduced rates when an old vehicle of the same size-class was taken off the road at the same time as the new vehicle was acquired. In 1990 the standard ARF rate reached up to 175% of OMV (Koh, 2003). ARF/PARF proceeds were the largest single source of government revenue from the road transport sector in the 1980s. In addition to the registration fee of S$ 140, two tier system ARF is in place (LTA, 2007a):

a) Vehicles registered before 2004 March has 130% of OMV
b) Vehicles registered after 2004 March has 110% of OMV

The PARF has the following structure (LTA, 2007a):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of Vehicle at De-registration</th>
<th>Graduated PARF Rebate (For cars registered with COEs(^{25}) obtained before May 2002 tender exercise)</th>
<th>PARF Rebate (For cars registered with COE obtained from May 2002 tender exercise and onwards)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not exceeding 5 years</td>
<td>130% of OMV</td>
<td>75% of ARF paid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 5 years but not exceeding 6 years</td>
<td>120% of OMV</td>
<td>70% of ARF paid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 6 years but not exceeding 7 years</td>
<td>110% of OMV</td>
<td>65% of ARF paid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 7 years but not exceeding 8 years</td>
<td>100% of OMV</td>
<td>60% of ARF paid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 8 years but not exceeding 9 years</td>
<td>90% of OMV</td>
<td>55% of ARF paid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 9 years but not exceeding 10 years</td>
<td>80% of OMV</td>
<td>50% of ARF paid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: LTA, 2007a

**B) AREA LICENSE SCHEME (ALS)**

It is a license required for a vehicle to enter a designated area. ALS was introduced for the first time in 1975. It involves a road tax charged to users on pay-as-you-use principle and required a license for each vehicle to enter certain restricted zones (RZ) of the city during peak hours in the morning (7:30 AM – 9:30 AM). The license was mandatory to be

\(^{25}\) Certificate of Entitlements
displayed and an observer was stationed in the entry posts (22 entry posts) to observe the license and record the vehicle number of the defaulters. As a result of the combined effect of ALS and simultaneous sharp increases in downtown parking charge (which was approximately double the cost of commuting to work by car (Willoughby, 2001)), the car traffic volume in the peak morning hours fell beyond expectation, while the traffic volume was found to have increased during the period before and after the restricted hours. In 1989, the requirement for area licenses was extended to vehicles entering the RZ during afternoon peak hours. The exemptions for car pools\(^{26}\) and goods vehicles\(^{27}\) were also eliminated. In 1994, ALS was extended to vehicles entering the RZ any time from 7.30 am to 7.00 pm on all week-days. Later in 1998, to overcome the difficulty in observing and recognizing the different types of license issued according to vehicle type, and in order to make the system efficient, the system was replaced by electronic road pricing (ERP).

C) VEHICLE QUOTA SCHEME (VQS)
Under the vehicle quota scheme, all prospective purchasers of new vehicles are required to own a Certificate of Entitlement (COE) to operate the vehicles on road. The VQS was implemented in 1 May 1990. The COEs are issued by the government equivalent to the vehicle quota issued quarterly. The COE was valid for 10 years and one needs to buy the COE in closed bidding in an auction conducted quarterly initially (twice a month in later years). All COEs are offered at the lowest offered price subject to it would exhaust the total available quota of COEs when arranged from highest to lowest priced bidders (LTA, 2007a). This lowest price offered is called the Quota Premium (QP) (Table 4.4). In the initial auctions, the Quota Premium was modest but later in 1994 it increased steadily and reached above $27,000 for medium sized cars and above US$ 45,000 for larger cars (Willoughby, 2001). Prevailing quota premium (PQP) was also introduced so that vehicle owners could renew the COE.

Table 4.4: Result of 2nd Bidding Process conducted on 18 July 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Quota Issued</th>
<th>Quota Premium (S$)</th>
<th>Prevailing Quota Premium (S$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A Car (1600cc &amp; below) &amp; Taxi</td>
<td>2,208</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>15,957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Car (Above 1600 cc)</td>
<td>1,133</td>
<td>17,602</td>
<td>18,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Goods Vehicle &amp; Bus</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>3,889</td>
<td>6,582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Motorcycle</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>1,052</td>
<td>1,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E Open</td>
<td>1,105</td>
<td>17,410</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: LTA, 2007b

---

\(^{26}\) Car pooling is the shared use of a car by the driver and one or more passengers.

\(^{27}\) Vehicles that carry goods.
for the next period. The PQP\textsuperscript{28} was based on
the monthly average of the QP for 3-months. In 2002, open
bidding process replaced with the closed bidding process. In 2007,
the bidding process was conducted twice a month (LTA, 2007b).

D) ELECTRONIC ROAD PRICING (ERP)

ERP is an electronic tax system, which
replaced ALS in 1998. The ERP was in effect
during 7.30 a.m. to 7.00 p.m. in all areas,
which had been covered by ALS; it was also
extended to few other expressways. The ERP
scheme is similar to ALS but its enforcement
is automatic and electronic equipments like
sensors, cameras with short-range radio
communication system are utilized to sense
vehicle entries. Vehicles are equipped with
an electronic In-vehicle Unit (IU), in which a
general-purpose smart card (cash card) with
positive cash balance is inserted. The toll
applicable at the time when the vehicle passes
under a gantry is automatically deducted
without the driver having to slow down.
Also the system is well setup to recognize
a vehicle category. Charges are different for
motorcycles, cars, good vehicles, taxies and
buses. Half of the approximately US$125
million total cost of the ERP scheme was
incurred in the fitting of IUs, provided free
to the vehicles on first come first serve basis
during the initial period of its implementation.
Total cost per vehicle for the scheme was
less than US$190 in the then existing fleet
(Willoughby, 2001). Since February 2003,
graduated ERP was implemented in order to
avoid vehicles speeding up or slowing down
to avoid paying higher ERP charges during few
minutes before and after the restricted hours.
The ERP varies every half-hour of a day and
varies by type of vehicle and by time of day
(e.g. peak and off-peak) (LTA, 2007b).

E) FLEXIBLE SCHEMES (OFF-PEAK CAR
SCHEME)

The Off-Peak Car (OPC) Scheme was
introduced on 1 October 1994 and replaced
the Weekend Car Scheme. Under the
Weekend Car Scheme, special permits were
issued to cars allowing them to run during
weekends only. Under OPC, permits are
issued to cars allowing them to run during
off peak hours only. The scheme is intended
to increase off-peak car usage. OPC offers
the new and existing car owners an option
to save on car registration and road taxes
so that car usage can be reduced. In 2007,
an upfront rebate of S$17,000 was provided
to be offset against COE Quota Premium
and Additional Registration Fee (ARF) (LTA,
2007c). The rebate was used to offset against
the COE premium payable first. If there was
any surplus (unused) rebate after that, it was
used to offset against the ARF payable. Also
a flat discount of S$ 800 on annual road tax
was provided, subject to a minimum road tax
payment of $50 per year. Normal car was
also allowed to be converted to Off-Peak Car

\textsuperscript{28} PQP is the amount required to extend or renew the COE for a vehicle already in use. Unlike buying a new vehicle, one does not need
to bid for COE when buying a used vehicle; instead, all you need to do is to pay the PQP and the COE will be extended or renewed.
The COE may be renewed for a period of either 5 or 10 years. COEs which have been renewed for a period of 5 years are not eligible
for another renewal and will have to be de-registered after they expire. Otherwise, there is no limit to the number of times for renewal.
after paying an additional fee of S$ 100 (LTA, 2007c).

In addition to the above policy instruments for demand management, the following were the other measures and approaches undertaken (Chin, 1996):

i. construction and improvement in land communications;
ii. reorganization, investments and improvements to public transport;
iii. traffic management schemes;
iv. integrated transport and land use planning.

**Impact on Air Pollution**

The average speed of the vehicles was found maintained at about 62-65 km/hour in expressways and about 24 – 27 km/hour in Arterial roads (Table 4.5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Expressways</th>
<th>Arterial Roads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002/03</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003/04</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004/05</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005/06</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: *Average of AM Peak (8am - 9am) and PM Peak (6pm - 7pm)*

*Source: LTA, 2007a*

The air quality of Singapore has been meeting the USEPA standards except for the levels of PM10 in 1994 and 1997 (Fig 4.1 and Fig 4.2) when Singapore was affected by transboundary smoke haze. The rest of the air pollutants (sulfur dioxide, carbon monoxide, ozone and nitrogen oxides) are well within the standards prescribed by WHO and USEPA.

![Figure 4.1: Annual average levels of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxide and PM10 in Singapore (Quaha et al., 2003)](image)

---
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Figure 4.2: Annual average Pollutant Sub Index (PSI) in Singapore (Yong, 2002)

Table 4.6 presents the air quality data in Singapore as an illustration. It shows that air quality to be good all over Singapore.

Table 4.6: 24-hr PSI at 4pm, 25 July 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>24-hr Sub-index at 4pm, 25 July 2007</th>
<th>PSI</th>
<th>Air Quality Descriptor</th>
<th>Responsible Pollutant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulfur Dioxide</td>
<td>PM_{10}</td>
<td>Ozone</td>
<td>Carbon Monoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: A sub-index value of 1 to 50 of a pollutant indicates that the level of the air pollutant for the day is in the good range and within the USEPA annual mean ambient air quality standard. A sub-index of 51 to 100 indicates the level of the air pollutant is in the moderate range, but still within the USEPA 24-h ambient air quality standard.

+Sub-index for Nitrogen Dioxide is reported only when the one-hour Nitrogen Dioxide concentration exceeds 1130µg/m³.

*Based on the highest indices in accordance with the USEPA guidelines for PSI reporting.

30 PSI is Pollutant Sub Index based on highest indices in accordance with USEPA guidance
31 Downloaded on 26 July 2007 (http://app.nea.gov.sg/psi/ps24thv1.aspx)
4.6 Compressed Natural Gas Conversion of Public Passenger Vehicles in Delhi

Country/Region : India/South Asia  
Area of coverage : Delhi  
Sectoral category : Energy/Transport  
Type of approach : Policy/Technology  
Pollutant Type : SO\textsubscript{2} and NO\textsubscript{x}  
Year of introduction : 1998 onward  
Participants : Supreme Court, Delhi Government, Environmental Pollution (Prevention and Control) Authority, Gas Utilities, Automobile Industries, Transport Operators and Civil Society  
Implemented by : Delhi Government

Description

The transport sector is the major polluter in Delhi (DPCC, 2003). In response to a writ petition filed in 1985, the Supreme Court of India issued in 1998 directives to the Delhi Government to convert entire public transport system (comprising of auto rickshaws, taxis and buses) to use compressed natural gas (CNG) by March 31, 2001. This was a historic decision in which the judiciary body had to intervene for the implementation of counter measures in transport sector to improve air quality in the city (Bell et al., 2003).

Measures to reduce vehicular pollution include the use of improved fuel quality (like low sulfur diesel), retrofitting the exhaust tail end pipe with catalytic converters, switching to cleaner fuel or traffic management. However, the complete conversion of the public transport to CNG in Delhi was a unique example, through which a significant reduction in air pollution was achieved within few years in the city, which was otherwise considered as the one of the world’s 10 most polluted cities (Mumbai Newsline, 1998). While the role played by other sectors can not be undermined, the ruling of Supreme Court...
of India played the major role. Moreover, the court was active in issuing a series of directives to the implementing agencies and in many occasions, it even criticized them for their slow responses with excuses of not having the necessary infrastructures available (UNEP, 2006). On July 28, 1998, the Supreme Court had ruled that the total passenger bus fleet of Delhi be increased from the then figure of about 6,000 to 10,000 by April 1, 2001 and that the entire city bus fleet be converted to CNG with an objective to expand the city's public transport system as well as to control air pollution. This was based on the recommendations of Environment Protection Control Authority (EPCA), also known as Bhure Lal Committee (BLC), set up by the Ministry of Environment & Forests.

Delhi had the largest fleet of CNG buses in the world in 2005. There were 2,394 buses, over 27,000 autos and 14,000 other vehicles running on CNG by 2005. More than 146 fueling stations (till March 2006) have been established (IGL, 2006b).

**Major Activities**

The major activities conducted could be traced back to early 1990s. Major activities that led to the implementation of the historic court decision into reality include the following (Bell et al., 2003):

1991:

The Supreme Court (SC) makes its first order to the Gas Authority of India, Ltd. (GAIL), the gas distribution arm of the Delhi government, to switch over to a clean fuel. It orders that at least five stations providing CNG should be set up, and that a minimum of 5 Delhi Transport Corporation (DTC) buses should be converted to CNG.

1995:

The SC ordered and agreed to a schedule to convert government cars to CNG or retrofitting of catalytic converters.

1996:

The SC ruled that 720 Delhi government vehicles must either be fitted with a catalytic converter or be converted to CNG and gave a deadline for doing so. The SC ordered the Ministry of Surface Transport (MoST) and Ministry of Environment and Finance (MoEF) to ensure that the conversions take place on time.

1998:

The SC gave directives for switching over of the entire public transport system (comprising of autos, taxies and buses) to the compressed natural gas (CNG/clean fuel mode) as per schedule specified by the court.

The Court had also directed to establish 80 CNG dispensing stations in Delhi by March 2000. India’s first CNG bus was launched in Delhi. The bus was run by DTC\(^2\) on a trial basis.

1999:

A committee was formed to devise the implementation plan of SC directives. CNG was made available at 9 dispensing stations in the capital.

\(^{2}\) state owned transport company
The Motor Vehicles Act was amended to include CNG.

That all 7,500 DTC buses plying in the capital would be converted to CNG by March 31, 2001 – was announced. Further, twenty five hundred new CNG buses were to be added.

Diesel with 0.25% sulfur content was introduced.

2000:
First emission standard for CNG-vehicles was introduced. 12 CNG stations were operating in Delhi. Bharat Stage II standard equivalent to Euro II standard was issued.

Significant reduction in pollution at traffic intersections and in industrial areas was reported.

Ministry of Science and Technology provided certification of standards for converted CNG vehicles.

Inadequacy of CNG filling stations and shortage of kits hampered meeting of the implementation plan deadlines. Out of total converted 10 CNG buses, 7 were only running on trial basis.

About 1,800 buses (almost all of the buses), 17,000 rickshaws, and 1,200 taxis – which were all over 8 years old– go off the road. Commuters panic and some were left stranded.

The SC directed the central government to supply petrol and diesel with 0.05% sulfur content and 1% benzene content from June 2001. The SC directed the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas to supply petrol with 0.05% sulfur content with 1% benzene content.

2001:
The SC refuses to extend the March 31, 2001 CNG conversion deadline. Private bus operators request financial assistance from the government for the conversion.

SC sought more precise definition of “clean fuel”. The other fuels, particularly low (500 ppm) and ultra low (10 ppm) sulfur diesel, should be considered in addition to CNG as “clean fuel” for vehicles.

The Court granted a temporary extension for the CNG conversion of buses until the end of September 2001 for groups that showed conformity of commitment.

2002:
The SC directed that no retro-fitted or converted CNG bus be allowed to ply without certificate of conformity that the buses met the safety standard after CNG conversion. New CNG buses would not come under this order.

2003:
All 8,000 buses in Delhi were reported to operate on CNG. Nearly all auto-rickshaws in the city had converted to CNG.

Delhi won the US Department of Energy’s first ‘Clean Cities International Partner of the Year’ award for “bold efforts to curb air pollution and support alternative fuel initiatives”.

2006:
Delhi had 10,761 buses (owned by DTC and private), 63,962 three wheelers, 5,229 taxis
& 5,258 Vans running on CNG (India Times, 2006). 19,351 private cars in Delhi had also converted to CNG (IGL, 2006a).

Impact on Air Pollution
Improvement in air quality of Delhi in recent years is widely acknowledged. The Central Pollution Control Board of India is continuously monitoring the ambient air quality of the major cities of the country. The air quality measurements of Delhi from 1998 to 2005 show that the average concentration of SO₂ is drastically reduced to the safe limit. Further, the concentration of NOₓ meets the criteria of the annual average national standard value (Table 4.7). However, the suspended particulate matter in the city is far above than the national ambient air standard. These can be seen from Table 4.7.

Table 4.7: Ambient Air Quality of Delhi from 1998 - 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SO₂</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>1998</th>
<th>1999</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sarojini Nagar</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Town hall</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mayapuri Industrial Areab</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NOₓ</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>1998</th>
<th>1999</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sarojini Nagar</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Town hall</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mayapuri Industrial Areab</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sarojini Nagar</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Town hall</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mayapuri Industrial Areab</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>484</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*b - Data from 1998-2003 is from Shahadra
All units are in yearly average μg/m³.

Annual Average National Ambient Air Quality Standard:
SO₂/NOₓ – 80 μg/m³ for industrial and 60 μg/m³ for residential.
SPM – 360 μg/m³ for industrial and 140 μg/m³ for residential.

Source: CPCB, 2007b and 2007c
4.7 Environmental Measures and NO\textsubscript{x} Tax System in Norway

Country/Region : Norway/Europe  
Area of coverage : Norway  
Sectoral category : Energy/Transport  
Type of approach : Policy/Technology  
Pollutant Type : NO\textsubscript{x}  
Year of introduction : January 2007 onward  
Participants : Manufacturing Industries, Transport comprising of ships, fishing vessels, air traffic and railways  
Implemented by : Norwegian Government, Norwegian Pollution Control Authority

Description

In 2006, the Norwegian Parliament endorsed a tax policy on emission of NO\textsubscript{x} to be applicable from January 1, 2007. The tax amounts to NOK 15 per kg NO\textsubscript{x} (US $ 2.5/kg) emitted from ships, fishing vessels, air traffic and diesel railways, and also engines, boilers and turbines in the manufacturing industries. The large units in these categories are applicable for the tax system. Large units are defined as units with capacity higher than 10 MW for boilers and 750 kW for propulsion engines. In addition, NO\textsubscript{x} tax is also imposed on flaring offshore and on oil and gas installations on shore. The tax covers approximately 55 % of the total Norwegian NO\textsubscript{x} emissions (NPCA, 2008).

Table 4.8: Emission ceiling 2010 according to the Gothenburg Protocol and status 1990 and 2006 (in tonnes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen oxides (NO\textsubscript{x})</td>
<td>212 524</td>
<td>194 506</td>
<td>156 000</td>
<td>39 000 tonnes (20 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMVOC</td>
<td>294 875</td>
<td>196 345</td>
<td>195 000</td>
<td>1 000 tonnes (1 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia (NH\textsubscript{3})</td>
<td>20 375</td>
<td>22 610</td>
<td>23 000</td>
<td>Emission ceiling reached at the moment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NPCA, 2007
The tax is geographically delimited in accordance with the Gothenburg Protocol. This implies that emissions from foreign sea and air transport not are covered, for instance. As per the Gothenburg Protocol, Norway is required to meet its NO\textsubscript{x} emission target of 156,000 tonnes in 2010 (Table 4.8) and the tax system is expected to help in meeting this obligation (NPCA, 2008).

**Major Activities**

**ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION**

Under the Pollution Control Act, the regulation relating to the pollution control was introduced in 1981 (NPCA, 2007). The scope of the regulation covers emission of particulate matters (PM\textsubscript{2.5} and PM\textsubscript{10}), nitrogen dioxide and nitrogen oxides, Sulfur dioxide, lead, benzene, carbon monoxide, arsenic, cadmium, nickel, benzo(a)pyrene (as a marker for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons) and mercury. The regulation considers only the locally-created contributions in determining whether a source contributes substantially to exceeding individual limit values (Table 4.9). Emission from road traffic is seen as a whole, regardless of who owns the roads. Similarly, contiguous port area with different owners is treated as once emission source. Furthermore, emissions from small heating plants as a whole are taken as one source.

The limit values for the concentration of outdoor air pollution for different averaging periods and the deadlines to meet these targets are given in Table 4.9.

Municipalities are authorized to draw necessary assessments of possible measures in consultation with parties involved. Municipalities are also authorized to ensure the owners of smaller heating plans to comply with the regulation. Also they are given authority to order/issue directives to plant owners to comply with the regulation even when such plants do not contribute significantly to the concentration of the pollutant.

The air quality is being measured and/or calculated according to health-based (Table 4.10) and vegetation-based evaluation thresholds (Table 4.11):
Table 4.9: Maximum Allowable Limit on Concentration of pollutants in outdoor air and the date by which the limit value is to be met

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sulfur dioxide</th>
<th>Averaging period</th>
<th>Limit value</th>
<th>Margin of tolerance</th>
<th>Date by which limit value is to be met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Hourly limit value for the protection of human health</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>350 μg/m³</td>
<td>The limit value must not be exceeded more than 24 times a calendar year</td>
<td>1 January 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Daily limit value for the protection of human health</td>
<td>1 day (fixed)</td>
<td>125 μg/m³</td>
<td>The limit value must not be exceeded more than 3 times a calendar year</td>
<td>1 January 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Limit value for the protection of ecosystems</td>
<td>Calendar year and winter (1/10–31/3)</td>
<td>20 μg/m³</td>
<td>4 October 2002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nitrogen dioxide and oxides of nitrogen</th>
<th>Averaging period</th>
<th>Limit value</th>
<th>Margin of tolerance</th>
<th>Date by which limit value is to be met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Hourly limit value for the protection of human health</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>200 μg/m³ NO₂</td>
<td>The limit value must not be exceeded more than 18 times a calendar year</td>
<td>1 January 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Annual limit value for the protection of human health</td>
<td>Calendar year</td>
<td>40 μg/m³ NO₂</td>
<td>1 January 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Annual limit value for the protection of vegetation</td>
<td>Calendar year</td>
<td>30 μg/m³ NOₓ</td>
<td>4 October 2002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulate matter PM₁₀</th>
<th>Averaging period</th>
<th>Limit value</th>
<th>Margin of tolerance</th>
<th>Date by which limit value is to be met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Daily limit value for the protection of human health</td>
<td>1 day (fixed)</td>
<td>50 μg/m³ PM₁₀</td>
<td>The limit value must not be exceeded more than 35 times per year</td>
<td>1 January 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Annual limit value for the protection of human health</td>
<td>Calendar year</td>
<td>40 μg/m³ PM₁₀</td>
<td>1 January 2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NPCA, 2007

The air quality is being measured and/or calculated according to health-based (Table 4.10) and vegetation-based evaluation thresholds (Table 4.11):
### Table 4.10 Assessment threshold for health protection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollution component</th>
<th>Upper assessment threshold</th>
<th>Lower assessment threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>75 µg/m³ (day value) which must not be exceeded more than 3 times a calendar year</td>
<td>50 µg/m³ (day value) which must not be exceeded more than 3 times a calendar year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen dioxide</td>
<td>140 µg/m³ (hourly mean) which must not be exceeded more than 18 times a calendar year</td>
<td>100 µg/m³ (hourly mean) which must not be exceeded more than 18 times a calendar year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32 µg/m³ (annual mean)</td>
<td>26 µg/m³ (annual mean)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulate matter (PM₁₀)</td>
<td>30 µg/m³ (daily mean) which must not be exceeded more than 7 times a calendar year</td>
<td>20 µg/m³ (daily mean) which must not be exceeded more than 7 times a calendar year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14 µg/m³ (annual mean)</td>
<td>10 µg/m³ (annual mean)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>0.35 µg/m³ (annual mean)</td>
<td>0.25 µg/m³ (annual mean)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>3.5 µg/m³ (annual mean)</td>
<td>2.0 µg/m³ (annual mean)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon monoxide</td>
<td>7 µg/m³ (8-hourly mean)</td>
<td>5 µg/m³ (8-hourly mean)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: NPCA, 2007*

### Table 4.11: Assessment threshold for the protection of vegetation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollution component</th>
<th>Upper assessment threshold</th>
<th>Lower assessment threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>12 µg/m³ (winter mean)</td>
<td>8 µg/m³ (winter mean)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxides of nitrogen</td>
<td>24 µg/m³ (annual mean)</td>
<td>19.5 µg/m³ (annual mean)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: NPCA, 2007*

### NOₓ TAX SYSTEM

The tax base is applicable to propulsion machinery with a cumulative installed engine rating of over 750 kW or motors, boilers and turbines with a total installed capacity of more than 10 MW. If the installed propulsion power rating exceeds 750 kW, NOₓ emissions from other auxiliary machinery are also subject to tax in addition to the tax on the propulsion machinery (NMD, 2007). The tax is calculated based on 3 principles: a) according to actual emission; or b) according to a fixed source specific emission factor; or c) based on the maximum rotations per minute (rpm) template if ‘a’ and ‘b’ are non-existent.
Application of (a) requires actual emission documented measurements carried out by competent party approved by the Norwegian Maritime Directorate (a pre-defined NO\textsubscript{x} Tax Calculations Table or ‘rate card’) while (b) requires a source specific emission factor documentation in accordance with guidelines given by the Norwegian Maritime Directorate, submitted and approved by the Norwegian Maritime Directorate (a source-specific Manual Onboard Measurement) and (c) requires documentations based on rotation speed recorded or main engine manufacturer’s certificates (continuous onboard monitoring).

The tax system is applicable to Norwegian registered vessels in ‘near waters’ defined as sea areas within 250 nautical miles from Norway’s coast. It is also applicable to emissions from all domestic and foreign vessels operating within Norwegian territorial waters, i.e. within 12 nautical miles of the coast. However, vessels sailing direct routes between Norwegian and foreign harbors, and vessels in transit through Norwegian territory (innocent passage) are exempted from the tax.

The policy has a provision to refund the tax based on the difference between emissions before and after the installation\textsuperscript{33} of cleansing equipments, measuring equipments. In the absence of such information, the policy also has a provision to fix source specific emissions factors by competent authority\textsuperscript{34}.

In such a case, the tax is calculated on the basis of source emission factors relevant to fuel consumption (DOCE, 2007)

**Impact on Air Pollution**

In 2007, Norwegian NO\textsubscript{x} emissions were reduced by 8.7% as compared to 1990. In 2007, the total NO\textsubscript{x} emission was about 190,000 tonnes. This was 0.6% lower than the NO\textsubscript{x} emission in 2006 (NPCA, 2008). Emissions from the road transport, sea transport and fishing decreased, whereas the emissions from liquefied natural gas (LNG) plant and industries increased. The decrease in emissions from the road transport was mainly due to the requirements of exhaust gas purification, increasing share of petrol run cars with catalytic converters.

\textsuperscript{33} There is restriction of such provision. Applicant has to agree to install cleansing or fixing source specific emission factor before 1 July 2007. In the case of measuring equipment installation, the applicant has to agree on the installation before 31 December 2007.

\textsuperscript{34} It is an authority to determine the specific emission factors. (e.g., Norwegian Pollution Control Authority for land based activities; Civil Aviation Authority for aircraft; Norwegian Maritime Director for ships/vessels)
4.8 Solar Water Heater System (SHWS) Development and Promotion Policies

Country/Region : Several countries
Area of coverage : Several countries
Sectoral category : Energy
Type of approach : Policy/Technology
Pollutant Type : $SO_2$, $NO_x$, particulate matter
Year of introduction : 1960s onward
Participants : Manufacturers, suppliers, industries, households

Description
It was estimated that around 10 million solar water heating systems (SWHs) were already installed in developing countries by 2001 (Refocus, 2005). Countries in Asia like China, India and Nepal are using solar energy significantly for water heating purpose. China’s SWHs cumulative installations were over 60 million m$^2$ by the end of 2004, more than 70% of the total world market (Refocus, 2005). SWHs have provided hot water supply for more than 35 million families competing with water heating technology options fueled by electricity and natural gas. In India, over 500,000 m$^2$ solar collector area was already installed by 2000 (Refocus, 2004). Solar water heater (SWH) for domestic water heating purpose is one of the most successfully used water heating systems in Nepal (Bajracharya et. al, 2003). Over 308,000 m$^2$ of collector area was already installed in the country by 2004 (OGARTA and Himal Energy, 2004). Every year, over 2000 SWHs are installed in Nepal (Shrestha et. al, 2002). There are approximately 60,929 households using SWHs (approximately 16% of the total households) in the country, of which more than 80% live inside the Kathmandu Valley (OGARTA and Himal Energy, 2004). This shows that one SWH is installed in every 5 households inside the Kathmandu Valley.

Major Activities
Direct grants, subsidies are the most prevalent schemes, whereas tax incentives while importing SWHs and their components are other indirect schemes used for promoting SWHs the most countries using SWHs. Accelerated depreciation schemes for commercial and public applications are also other schemes used in few of the countries. In India deployment of a further one million SWHs is aimed for domestic use by 2012 (Refocus, 2004).

New constructions of government-owned housing in Namibia are not allowed to install water heaters other than SWHs (Refocus, 2004). In Mexico, rather than giving direct financial incentives, the policy is targeted towards creating an enabling environment with roundtable talks between the sellers and potential users and developing a virtual marketplace.
In Florida (USA), under the ‘Solar Weatherization Program’, low income households were given free SWHs to replace electrical water heaters so as to lower the share of the energy cost in their budget. The average cost of the SWH was around 1500 Euro. Each SWH saves on average 130 Euro of electricity bill per year. The programme is operated by local program agencies and other non-profit agencies in cooperation with local volunteer groups (Refocus, 2004).

Australia has policies to promote the use of SWH to reduce the electrical load under the Renewable Portfolio Standard, under which, all SWHs replacing electrical water heaters are allowed to have green certificates. These certificates are marketable. Electricity suppliers are obliged to purchase a certain share of electricity from renewable energy sources and they can buy these green certificates to meet their obligation. Typically, a SWH will receive between 10 and 35 certificates with an electricity equivalent of 1 MWh over its lifetime. The market value of one certificate is reported to be between 18 and 20 Euro. About one fourth of the issued green certificates in 2001 in Australia were from SWHs (Refocus, 2004).

SWHs in Barbados are supported through different mechanisms. The Fiscal Incentive Act of 1974, which made provisions for import preferences and tax holidays, 30% consumption tax on electric water heaters are some of the mechanisms that helped to make SWH competitive (Refocus, 2004).

In Nepal, the development of solar thermal conversion devices started in the early 1960’s. After several improvements, an experimental SWH was installed in a school in 1975, which was the first unit installed for public use. With its market growth, a number of local manufacturers are involved into the commercial business. There are already more than 200 local manufacturers in such business (REDP/UNDP/AEPC, 2002). More manufacturers get into the business during the winter season (approx 4 months) when the sale of SWHs is high (OGARTA and Himal Energy, 2004).

Impact on Air Pollution

In the Indian northern state of Himachal Pradesh, every rural household uses 720 kg of firewood for heating water every winter and solar water heaters have been used in small villages to replace the demand for wood (Refocus, 2004). In Gujrat, daily consumption of firewood for water heating purpose in domestic use has been decreased by the use of SWHs. Each household previously used 5-7 kg of firewood per day for water heating. By replacing it with solar water heaters, households save the corresponding amount of firewood reducing carbon dioxide emissions (SPRERI, 2002). Solar energy is renewable and zero emission device, thus there is a strong possibility of earning carbon credits when it replaces fossil fuels generated electricity. It is estimated that the use of SWHs would save nearly 200 million kWh of electricity each year in Nepal (OGARTA and Himal Energy, 2004). SWHs could also reduce the consumption of fossil fuels like diesel and kerosene used in industrial boilers. In Nepal,
it was estimated that the use of SWHs would reduce approximately 9,974 tCO$_2$ every year and significant amount of other pollutants would also be reduced (ASTED, 2006).

In China, solar-powered water heaters provided 7.2 million tons of coal equivalent (tce) of heat (calculated at 120 kgce per year/m$^2$ collector) in 2004, providing approximately 12% of China’s renewable energy. The application of SWH in China is estimated to reduce around 12 million tons of CO$_2$ emission and associated other regional pollutants emissions in 2004 (Refocus, 2005). The island of Barbados has over 35,000 solar water heaters installed and this is equivalent to about one in every three households (Refocus, 2004). Solar water heaters are also widely used in the hotel industry and each unit saves about 4,000kWh per year, which results in a cumulative electricity saving of 140 million kWh (i.e., equivalent to 227,000 barrels of oil) if use of diesel is considered to generate electricity in place of solar. A substantial quantity of emissions, such as carbon dioxide, Sulfur dioxide and the oxides of nitrogen are avoided with the use of SWHs (Refocus, 2004).

**4.9 Vertical Shaft Brick Kiln Technology Promotion in Asia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country/Region</th>
<th>South and South East Asia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area of coverage</td>
<td>South and South East Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sectoral category</td>
<td>Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of approach</td>
<td>Policy/Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollutant Type</td>
<td>SO$_x$, particulate matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of introduction</td>
<td>1990s onward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Brick manufacturing industries, The Energy Resources Institute (TERI), SKAT, Swiss Agency for Development Cooperation (SDC), Center for Pollution Control Board (CPCB) of India and Ministry of Environment, Science and Technology of Nepal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description**

Vertical Shaft Brick Kiln (VSBK) technology is an energy efficient brick manufacturing technology originally developed in China. The design of the VSBK resembles to an intermittent updraft kiln with a unique method of continuous firing clay bricks (VSBK Nepal, 2007). The first version of VSBK originated from traditional updraft intermittent kilns in China during the 1960s. During the 1970s, this kiln became popular in several provinces of China and it was reported that there were more than 50,000 installations in China. Attempts to encourage the use of the VSBK technology outside of China started in early 1990s. The VSBK technology was promoted to several Asian countries such as India,

**Major Activities**

**INDIA**

In India, the brick manufacturing is very labor-intensive, since bricks are usually hand-molded and sundried before firing in the kiln (APEIS, 2003a). The firing of the bricks is mostly done in either Bulls’ Trench Kilns (BTKs) or in clamps. BTKs are generally the choice of medium and large projects and account for about 70% of the total production in the country, while clamps are often used in operations with smaller production capacities. Coal and biomass are used for firing the bricks in the kilns. Estimated coal consumption in the brick sector of the country is about 24 million tonnes per year (APEIS, 2003a). With such a large consumption of coal, the brick industry is the cause of significant air pollution in terms of suspended particulate matter (SPM).

The emission standard enforced by the Government of India in April 1996 limited stack emission to 1,000 mg/Nm$^3$ of emissions for small capacity kilns and 750 mg/Nm$^3$ for medium and larger kilns. The use of moving chimney BTKs were banned after June 2002. The various state pollution control boards are now enforcing the ban on this technology. While kilns with the higher production levels had the option to switch to fixed chimney type BTKs, small and medium capacity brick makers are required other options to meet the enforced standards on SPM emissions (APEIS, 2003a). The government regulations have therefore been a vital instrument that provided a framework for the adoption and dissemination of VSBK technology for small and medium brick makers in India.

With the support from Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC), in the action research phase (1996-2000), VSBKs are introduced and demonstrated. Major activities carried out under the research phase included providing training, developing technology options to suit different regions of the country and conducting awareness seminars, and study tours. There were no government-supported demonstrations/subsidies for the adoption of VSBK technology. In India there were 45 VSBK installations by 2004 and it was reported that the installations are over 100 by 2007 (SDC, 2008).

**VIETNAM**

In Vietnam, the VSBK technology was introduced under UNDP Project funded by the Small Grant Programme of the Global Environment Fund. The adoption of the new VSBK was growing rapidly all over the Vietnam. Approximately, around 200 VSBKs were already constructed in Vietnam by 2004 (Kim et. al, 2004). It is reported that over 300 VSBKs are constructed in Vietnam (SDC, 2008). Raising awareness among the local authorities on the possibility of air pollution reduction while retaining the livelihood of the brick-makers was one of the major achievements of the project. The project also made an effort to involve local policymakers from the beginning of the project. The national government had placed
deadlines for the phase-out of the traditional brick kilns, which provided a push to find efficient technologies such as VSBKs to meet the needs of the very large number of small scale brick producers throughout the country. However, some VSBKs failed because of monetary losses incurred by them. This was due to the dissemination of wrong information about VSBKs and managerial problems.

**NEPAL**

In Nepal, joint efforts between the government and local entrepreneurs have boosted the development of VSBK technology. A strong lobby from local community resulted banning of BTK citing heavy air pollution in the nearby vicinity (Heierli and Maithel, 2008). For the first time VSBK was introduced in Nepal in 1992 as a demonstration project. SDC, through its VSBK Program executed by Skat consulting (Swiss Resources Centre and Consultancies for Development), has been providing technical support to interested entrepreneurs to install VSBK. Currently, over 10 VSBKs are in operation in the Kathmandu Valley (SDC, 2008). Establishment of VSBK Entrepreneurs Forum was one of the major achievements of the program. In the Second VSBK Entrepreneurs Workshop, the forum was established in order to address various issues related to VSBK technology. This forum had established a good network among all VSBK entrepreneurs (VSBK Nepal, 2007).

In Pakistan and Bangladesh, some pilot projects are ongoing in VSBK technology transfer.

**Impact on Air Pollution**

VSBK technology is environmentally friendly. SPM emissions from VSBKs are very low compared to the environmental requirements (80 to 250 mg/Nm³ as against about 750 mg/Nm³ for medium and large capacity BTKs and 1,000 mg/Nm³ for small capacity BTKs). Apart from the obvious stack emissions, other fugitive emissions are also significantly lower.

For clamp type kilns, the comparison with VSBK is even more dramatic. These kilns generally do not have a stack for the dispersion of flue gases, which are usually let out into the surroundings. On a global level, the energy savings resulting from VSBK (20 to 50 %) would help considerably in reducing CO₂ emissions if the technology were adopted on a large scale.
4.10 Alternative Fuel Vehicle Promotion in Kathmandu Valley\textsuperscript{35}

Country/Region : Nepal/South-Asia  
Area of coverage : Kathmandu Valley  
Sectoral category : Energy  
Type of approach : Policy/Technology  
Pollutant type : $\text{SO}_2$, $\text{NO}_x$, particulate matter  
Year of introduction : 1995-2000  
Participants : Donor supported (USAID/US-AEP) demonstration project, private investment, and government-provided facilitation and benefits

Description

Motor vehicles have been identified as the major source of air pollution in the Kathmandu Valley. A sudden surge of diesel-operated three-wheelers was observed during 1989-92. These vehicles emit a thick black smoke and were noisy. With the growing problem of air pollution and due to public outcry, the government put a ban on further registration of these vehicles in 1992 (APEIS, 2003b). Despite growing public awareness over air quality and pressure from NGOs and other civic groups, a ban on these vehicles from operating on the streets could not be enforced in the early days due to a number of local economic and political difficulties. Policy makers failed to create any incentive mechanism to motivate the owners to abandon their three-wheelers. In 1995, the prohibition of the use these vehicles on the street was put into effect. Then the combined effort of the government, the private sector and civil society (mainly NGOs and advocacy groups) produced synergy effects to promote and expand the use of battery-operated electric three-wheelers on a commercial basis in the valley, to fill the vacuum created by the restriction on diesel three-wheelers. These vehicles were promoted as zero-emission vehicle since over 90% of the electricity produced in Nepal is hydropower (Nepal Electricity Authority, 2006). Currently over 600 electric vehicles (popularly named as ‘Safa\textsuperscript{36} tempo) are running inside the Kathmandu Valley.

Major Activities

The key activities behind the successful introduction of electric vehicles in the Kathmandu Valley are given below:

MAJOR INSTITUTIONAL EFFORTS

As a major institutional effort to improve environment, the government of Nepal set

\textsuperscript{35} The material in this section draws heavily on RAPIDC (2008).

\textsuperscript{36} ‘Safa’ in Nepali language means clean.
emission standards in 1994 and formed the Ministry of Environment in 1995. It passed the Environmental Protection Act 2056 in 1997. The ministry attempted in late 1998 to start the phase out of non-complying vehicles within two years and in early 1999 formulated a phase-out program in association with the Department of Transport Management and local municipalities, and in consultation with the private sector and NGO groups. Unfortunately, these efforts did not succeed.

**PUBLIC PRESSURE AND CREATING AWARENESS ON THE ENVIRONMENT**

The non-governmental sectors like the tourism, industry, cine-artists associations, local clubs, NGOs and civil society fueled the anti-diesel three-wheeler movement which peaked in 1995 (Dhakal, 2004). The movement led to street protests and road blockades against three-wheelers. Finally, the government provided an incentive for the owners to replace their diesel three-wheelers, in the form of a 75% customs holiday on the import of 12- to 14-seater public transportation vehicles (Dhakal, 2004). Consequently, diesel three-wheelers were banned from operating in the valley, starting in July 1999.

**DEMONSTRATION OF TECHNOLOGICAL AND ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY OF ELECTRIC THREE-WHEELERS**

Initially, Global Resource Institute conducted a pilot project for the demonstration of the technological feasibility of an electric vehicle, which was converted from a diesel three-wheeler. By 1995, a total of eight electric three-wheelers had been designed and pilot-tested on one of the major routes in the valley for six months (Dhakal, 2004). This demonstration project, apart from designing vehicles, also created awareness of the new vehicles and encouraged their acceptance by the government, private sector and the public.

**EMERGENCE OF A NEW INDUSTRY**

The demonstration project showed that the battery-operated three-wheelers were technologically and economically feasible in the valley. As a result, the private sector was attracted to invest in this industry. Since then, the private sector has been the main impetus for the growth of the electric vehicles in Kathmandu. There were 600 safa tempos operating in Kathmandu, servicing 100,000 people daily in 17 routes and employ over 70 women drivers by 2004 (ADB, 2006a and Dhakal, 2004). There were also 37 charging stations. These safa tempos were assembled in Kathmandu using body and chassis parts from India and electronic parts and batteries from the other countries (e.g., United States). These vehicles can carry an average load of 12 persons and one set of batteries. The government has been providing tax incentives on vehicle parts importation and there were no fees for annual registration of electric vehicles (ADB, 2006a). The EV industry in the valley principally consists of three major groups: vehicle manufacturers, vehicle owners and charging station operators. Currently, there are about five major manufacturers,
38 battery-charging centers, and about 450 owners. Each charging centre owns about 5 to 10 vehicles, and individuals own the rest.

The demand for electric vehicles is likely to grow as an alternative to fossil-fuel based vehicles (Tiwari, 2007). The private sector has been interested in manufacturing four-wheeled electric vehicle with an alternating current drive system. Recently, five electric cars (REVA) were imported from India into the country through private organizations. Hulas Motors, the only automobile manufacturer in Nepal, came out with its first five to ten passenger electric van in 2007. Other local electric vehicle manufacturers are also working on four wheel and alternating current drive-based electric vehicles (Tiwari, 2007).

ROLE OF PRIVATE SECTOR ASSOCIATION
The Electric Vehicle Association of Nepal is an umbrella organization of the electric vehicle (EV) industry. It integrates the charging station operators’ association, the manufacturers’ association and the owners’ association, and also represents the EV industry when dealing with the government, the media and the public.

DONORS’ INTEREST
The support of foreign donors in promoting electric three-wheelers is also one of the important factors behind the growth of EVs in the valley. Two donors, the US Agency for International Development (USAID) and Danish International Development Agency (DANIDA), played instrumental roles: The USAID supported the demonstration programs and DANIDA provided support at the later stages. Since donors are influential in many of the governmental policies, such donor involvement helped to create a favourable response from Nepal’s policy makers (Dhakal, 2004).

LOWER ELECTRICITY TARIFF AND TAX BENEFIT
The state-owned Nepal Electricity Authority provided electricity at low tariff (NRs 2.95-4.70/kWh for transport as compared to NRs 4 - 6.55/kWh for industries) for electricity use for battery charging in electric vehicles in 2006 (Nepal Electricity Authority, 2006). Since most of the electricity in Nepal is generated from run-of-the-river hydro power plants, the charging of batteries could use the surplus and unutilised energy during off-peak periods (i.e., night-time charging) at reduced tariffs. Indeed, electric vehicles provided a new market for the electric utility. The EV sector also enjoys benefits offered to manufacturing industries that deal with energy efficiency, energy conservation and pollution abatement as announced by the Industrial Enterprises Act 2049 (Article 15e). Under this, they are entitled up to a 50% discount from taxable income for a period of seven years.

Impact on Air Pollution Scene
Although air quality data are not available, banning of the diesel-three-wheelers had improved the visibility in the region. Vehicular smoke was reduced to some extent and pollution during hours of traffic congestion was reduced.
4.11 The OTC NO\textsubscript{x} Budget Program, the NO\textsubscript{x} SIP Call and NO\textsubscript{x} Trading Program in the Eastern States of the U.S.

Country/Region : United States (US)
Area of coverage : 22 states in US
Sectoral category : Energy
Type of approach : Policy/Emission Trading
Pollutant type : NO\textsubscript{x}
Year of introduction : 1999
Participants : Electric power plants, large industrial boilers and turbines, Ozone Transport Commission (OTC)

Description and Major Activities

**THE OTC NO\textsubscript{x} BUDGET PROGRAM**

It is a program implemented in the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic region of the United States to meet the air quality standard for ground-level ozone under the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. The Ozone Transport Commission (OTC) was established for this purpose. Under this program, a regional cap (budget) on NO\textsubscript{x} emissions was set for emissions from electric power generating facilities, large industrial boilers and turbines during the “ozone season” (from May 1\textsuperscript{st} through September 30\textsuperscript{th}) beginning in 1999. The ozone season covers the summer months, during which the formation of ozone was found to be of greatest concern. To meet the cap, the sources were required to reduce emissions significantly below the 1990 baseline levels, and could use emissions trading to achieve the most cost-effective reductions possible.

Each state within the OTC was allowed to design and implement its own trading program consistent with the state conditions and needs. However, all participating states have agreed to adopt guidelines for applicability, duration of the control period, targeted NO\textsubscript{x} emissions monitoring and record-keeping, and electronic reporting. States have the authority to establish individual enforcement procedures and penalties. Accurate monitoring of all emissions and timely reporting ensured that a ton of NO\textsubscript{x} emitted from one budget source is equal to a ton from any other source. This has maintained the integrity of the budget and the states have accurate and comprehensive compliance information. Transparency was maintained keeping all emissions and allowance data from the budget sources publicly available on the EPA Web site (USEPA, 2007d). States work with the federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which reviewed and approved the State Implementation Plans (SIPs) as well as developed and operated allowance and emissions tracking systems.

**EPA’S NO\textsubscript{x} SIP CALL**

EPA issued a regulation in 1998 to reduce the regional transport of ground level ozone since the OTC NO\textsubscript{x} Budget Program did not bring
the region (the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic) into compliance, due to the transboundary flow of NO\textsubscript{x} across state boundaries. The regulation was commonly known as State Implementation Plans (NO\textsubscript{x} SIP Call). SIP was issued to
• reduce seasonal NO\textsubscript{x} emissions in 22 states and the District of Columbia by 2003 and
• to create a Federal NO\textsubscript{x} Budget Trading Program.

In the 2003 ozone season the NO\textsubscript{x} SIP Call superseded the OTC NO\textsubscript{x} Budget Program. The NO\textsubscript{x} SIP Call did not mandate which sources must reduce emissions; rather, it required states to meet an overall emissions budget and gave them flexibility to develop control strategies to meet the budget. All affected states chose to meet their NO\textsubscript{x} SIP Call requirements by participating in the NO\textsubscript{x} Budget Trading Program.

**THE NO\textsubscript{x} BUDGET TRADING PROGRAM**

Under the SIP Call, EPA developed NO\textsubscript{x} trading program known as the OTC NO\textsubscript{x} Budget Trading Program. Under this program, budget sources were allocated allowances by their state government. Each allowance would permit a source to emit 1 ton of NO\textsubscript{x} during the control period.

As in US Acid Rain Program, these allowances could be bought, sold, or banked. Any person was allowed to acquire allowances and participate in the trading system. In 2006, more than 2,500 units were involved under the NO\textsubscript{x} Budget Trading Program (NBP). These include electricity generating units with output capacity of 15 MW or more. These also includes large industrial units with fossil fuel fired boilers or indirect heat exchangers having maximum rated heat input capacity of 250 million British thermal units per hour or more (USEPA, 2007e).

States were given broad discretion as to how they could allocate allowances from their trading budget to affected sources.

**Impacts**

**CHANGES IN NO\textsubscript{x}**

In 2006, under the NO\textsubscript{x} Budget Program, the budget sources emitted 491,483 tons, which means a reduction of NO\textsubscript{x} by more than 38,000 tons, or 7% from the emission in 2005 and 74% from 1990 emissions (USEPA, 2007e). However, there were also contributions of other programs under the Clean Air Act such as the Acid Rain NO\textsubscript{x} Reduction Program and other state, local, and federal programs on NO\textsubscript{x} reduction. The significant decrease in NO\textsubscript{x} emissions after 2000 largely reflects reductions achieved by the OTC Budget Trading program, and the NO\textsubscript{x} Budget Program. Over 99.7% of the total affected sources had achieved compliance with the NO\textsubscript{x} Budget Trading Program in 2006 (USEPA, 2007e).

**CHANGES IN GROUND-LEVEL OZONE**

Like NO\textsubscript{x} emissions, ozone concentrations in urban and rural areas had decreased during 2004-2006 (after implementation of the NO\textsubscript{x} Budget program) in comparison to that during the period 2000-2002 (i.e., before implementation of NO\textsubscript{x} Budget Program). The average reduction in ozone concentrations in states participating NO\textsubscript{x} Budget Program was estimated as 5% (USEPA, 2007e).
Chapter V | Summary and concluding remarks

With the increasing dependence on fossil fuels and heavy use of biomass, the countries in South Asia are increasingly facing the problem of air pollution. Three sectors, i.e., power, industry and transport are reported to be mainly responsible for air pollution in the region. The power sector is reported to be the largest emitter of SO$_2$, while transport sector, biomass burning and agriculture (crop residues and live stocks) are considered to be the largest contributors to NO$_x$, NMVOC and CH$_4$ emissions respectively in the region (Garg et al., 2001 and 2006; Shrestha et al, 1998; Varshney et al., 1999).

The use of coal is increasing in the region (especially in India) to meet the growing demand for electricity. As a result, SO$_2$ emission is also expected to rise in the region in the future. In addition, the problem of SO$_2$ emission is
getting aggravated by the deteriorating quality of coal, inefficient coal preparation and coal cleaning mechanisms in the region. Low efficiency of the thermal power generation (especially from coal fired power plants) is another factor resulting in higher SO₂ emission and offer potential for significant coal and SO₂ reduction from the region. Lack of regulations/mechanisms to control emissions other than particulate matters from the power plants, lack of regulations on Industrial pollution and enforcement of existing regulations are some of the key issues that need to be addressed in the region for prevention and control of transboundary air pollution.

The South Asia region is also facing the problem of worsening air quality in urban areas largely due to growing emissions from the urban transport sector. On the other hand, the rural areas in the region are facing the indoor air pollution problems related to biomass burning due to their high dependence on such fuels.

Most countries in the region lack regular monitoring of air pollution as well as information on emission source apportionment, which are prerequisites for formulation of effective air quality management strategies. Furthermore, most countries lack effective regulatory and economic policy instruments in order to improve the air quality.

The Declaration for Prevention of Transboundary Air Pollution ("The Malé Declaration") adopted by the Environment Ministers of South Asian Countries in 1998 is a milestone in the process of fostering regional cooperation towards the control and prevention of transboundary air pollution (TAP) in the South Asia region. However, the region is yet to adopt specific regional treaties/agreements/protocols that set quantitative targets for reduction of transboundary pollutant emissions at the national levels. International treaties and agreements provide the legal and political basis for formulating national level strategies and policies to control transboundary air pollution.

This report has reviewed various existing international treaties and agreements that address the problem of TAP in other regions of the world, especially Europe and North America. Worth mentioning in this context are the Convention on Long Range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP), the European Commission National Emission Ceilings Directive (2001/81/EC) and the mechanism set by Title IV of Clean Air Act 1990 Amendment in the US. The CLRTAP addresses the major TAP problems in the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) region (with special focus on Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia and South-East Europe). It adopted 8 protocols targeting emission reduction of major transboundary air pollutants. Likewise, the US has taken an initiative to control transboundary air pollution under Title IV of Clean Air Act 1990 Amendment. The Act requires the states in the US to achieve stipulated SO₂ and NO₃ emission reductions within a specified time horizon.
As a prerequisite to setting national emission reduction targets in any regional level agreements/treaties for control of transboundary air pollution, it is important to have reliable information on emissions and their effects/impacts as well as the costs of abatement measures. This requires installation of comprehensive monitoring system.

Once the targets for reduction of national emissions are agreed upon, next important task is to formulate and introduce most appropriate approaches to control emissions of pollutants. Keeping this in view, the present report has also discussed the approaches used by different countries to control air pollution so that appropriate lessons could be learned for controlling TAP in the region.

The major approaches used for environmental management in general and air quality management particular can be categorized as: (i) command and control approaches including emission standards, fuel quality standards and technology standards, (ii) market based or economic approaches which include emission tax (with or without refund), emission permits and emission trading and (iii) approaches based on voluntary actions. In addition, property right based approaches are also mentioned in the environmental economics literature. Further, the present report has presented in greater detail several examples of good practices existing in different countries in the world for the control of transboundary air pollution, which the policy makers of the South Asian countries could benefit from.

Examples of the application of command and control approach include the requirement of the Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control (IPPC) Directive of the European Union for each of its member states to adopt best available technology (BAT); in that sense the directive sets technology based standards. In the case of the US, an emission standard is imposed on new power plants in terms of SO₂ emission per unit of heat content of fuel burned.

Economic or market based approaches for environmental management employ either an emission tax (or emission charge) or emission permits (which could be tradable) as the instruments. The economic instruments are designed to influence the polluters' behavior (and thus the level of their pollutant emissions) through economic means. There are several examples of the use of emission charges in practice. A tax on NOₓ emission is in use in several countries (e.g., France, Italy, Norway, Sweden and Switzerland). Similarly, a tax on SO₂ emission has been introduced in a number of countries (e.g., Denmark France, Norway, Sweden and Switzerland). In Switzerland, there is a tax on volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from the emission of aviation engines. In Japan, emission tax was charged as a pollution levy to polluting firms in order to collect revenue to compensate the victims of designated diseases.

Historically, fuel taxes are the most used market mechanism. In general, it is levied in the form of excise duty. In Finland, a special
tax (Environmental Damage Tax) system and oil pollution fee for the imported oil are in effect besides an excise tax. Differentiated fuel tax rates based on the amount of sulfur content of fuel, are also applied in European countries. In Germany eco-tax is levied along with the excise duty. The eco-tax was in the form of an environmental tax designed to make energy and resource consumption more expensive.

A refund based tax system is followed in Sweden, which is not intended to raise revenue but to provide an incentive to the participants for emission reduction. The system imposes tax on the emitting sources based on their $SO_2/NO_x$ emission. However, in the case of NO$_x$, the tax is refunded to the participants based on the level of electricity produced so that the tax system benefits the participants generating more energy output with less NO$_x$ emission.

The system of allowing emission reduction credits (ERC) and emission trading is in practice in the United States and also in some European countries. In this system, firms are issued an emission permit (allocated to their emission sources) and if the sources reduce emission below the permitted level, the firms are given a credit for such reductions. These credits could be used by the same firm or through trade by another firm to meet the latter’s emission reduction target. This system usually lowers the cost of abatement as compared to the Command and Control (CAC) approach. In the US, in its sulfur emission allowance mechanism under the Acid Rain Program, some portion of the surplus allowances is auctioned to the public. Agencies lobbying for environment protection are allowed to buy the credits (allowances) in the auction. These credits are also allowed to retire physically so that the emission is permanently reduced on the following year. Innovative approaches in emission trading include the mechanisms of Offset, Bubble, Netting and Banking which are in practice in the US.

Approaches for voluntary action to reduce emissions include publication of top worst polluters (e.g., in Poland) and green electricity pricing that are in practice in some countries in Europe and US.

Congestion charges have been applied successfully in Singapore, Hong Kong and London on the vehicles entering the designated regions based on the degree of congestion. Though the major focus of this practice is to reduce traffic congestion rather than environmental benefits, it is believed to have also contributed to the improvement of air quality in the cities. Similarly, the license quota system that requires permits to run the new or existing vehicles in Singapore and Chile are some of the successfully adopted mechanisms to control vehicular emission. Banning of vehicles from running in designated days of a week was also introduced in Mexico. However, the scheme does not seem to have the desired effect and is reported to be economically inefficient.

Apart from the economic approaches, other emission control measures adopted in
different countries include switching to the cleaner energy sources/fuels e.g., hydrogen fuel cell, compressed natural gas (CNG) and electricity. The switch of public passenger transport vehicles in India and Pakistan from Diesel/gasoline to compressed natural gas (CNG) is such an example in the South Asia region of such approach. Use of low sulfur fuel has been widely adapted in the USA and European countries. In Nepal, electric vehicles have been introduced in public passenger transport system. In developing countries like India, China and Nepal, solar water heaters are extensively used for water heating purpose. Similarly, in several countries in Asia: India, Nepal, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Vietnam, China, energy efficient technology for brick making like Vertical Shaft Brick Kiln (VSBK) has been implemented as an alternative to traditional brick kilns.

In many cases emissions of pollutants like CO$_2$, SO$_2$ and NO$_x$ are closely linked with the level of fossil fuel combustion. Thus, any measure that modifies the level of fossil fuel combustion (e.g. energy conservation by increasing energy efficiency, fuel substitution, change in production level, etc.) would also influence emissions of air pollutants and greenhouse gases simultaneously. Several countries in Europe, Asia and North America are promoting electricity generation from renewable energy sources under the policy of renewable portfolio standards (RPS). They have utilized the mechanisms like feed-in tariffs, tradable green certificates (TGCs), bidding/tendering schemes, investment subsidies, fiscal/financial and green pricing schemes for the purpose. While the policy is aimed primarily at reducing CO$_2$ emission from electricity generation from thermal power plants, it also can yield co-benefits in the form of reduced SO$_2$ and/or NO$_x$ emissions.

It should be noted that the success of any emission control approach adopted would, to a large extent, depend on the effective monitoring of emissions and enforcement of the policies introduced to control/prevent the emissions.

Upscaling of these good practices requires several pre-requisites. Among them are: i) political will, ii) an institution framework with good technical and human resources to carry out the measures; appropriate rules and regulation that help to carry out such measures; and iii) Awareness and networking among the stakeholders. Demographic characteristics such as population, geography and economy are some factors which need to be considered during the process of upscaling. A reliable information system on emissions and their effects/impacts and the costs of abatement measures are some of the important requirements for upscaling process.
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